arXiv:1003.1215v1 [math.NT] 5 Mar 2010

Special L-values of geometric motives

Jakob Scholbach [*]

March 5, 2010

Abstract

This paper proposes a conjecture about special values of L-functions $L(M,s) := \prod_p \det(\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Fr}^{-1}\, p^{-s} | i_p^* M_\ell)^{-1}$ of geometric motives M over $\mathbb{Z}$. This includes L-functions of mixed motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ and Hasse-Weil ζ-functions of schemes over $\mathbb{Z}$. We conjecture the following: the order of $L(M,s)$ at $s=0$ is given by the negative Euler characteristic of motivic cohomology of $D(M) := M^\vee(1)[2]$. Up to a nonzero rational factor, the L-value at $s=0$ is given by the determinant of a pairing coupling an Arakelov-like variant of motivic cohomology of M with the motivic cohomology of $D(M)$:

$$L^*(M,0) \equiv \prod_i \det(\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{-i}(D(M)) \to \mathbb{R})^{(-1)^{i+1}} \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}.$$

Under standard assumptions concerning mixed motives over $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{F}_p$, and $\mathbb{Z}$, this conjecture is essentially equivalent to the conjunction of Soulé's conjecture about pole orders of ζ-functions of schemes over $\mathbb{Z}$, Beilinson's conjecture about special L-values for motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ and the Tate conjecture over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

L-functions have a long and rich history. Starting with Riemann's zeta function, the scope of L-functions has been progressively expanded to apply to more general objects such as mixed motives M_η over $\mathbb{Q}$:

$$L_F(M_\eta, s) := \prod_{p<\infty} \det\left(\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Fr}^{-1}\, p^{-s} | (M_\eta)_\ell^{I_p}\right)^{-1}.$$

Here $(M_\eta)_\ell$ denotes the ℓ-adic realization of the motive and I_p the inertia group. We give a natural adaptation of this definition to geometric motives over $\mathbb{Z}$ (Definition 2.1). That generalization incorporates both L-functions over $\mathbb{Q}$ and Hasse-Weil ζ-functions of schemes X of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$:

$$L_{\mathbb{Q}}(M_\eta, s)^{-1} = L_{\mathbb{Z}}(\eta_{!*} M_\eta[1], s), \tag{1}$$

$$\zeta(X,s) = L(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}(X), s).$$

---

[*] Universität Münster, Mathematisches Institut, Einsteinstr. 62, D-48149 Münster, Germany, jakob.scholbach@uni-muenster.de

Here M_η is a mixed motive over $\mathbb{Q}$ satisfying a certain smoothness condition, $\eta_{!*}$ is a generic intermediate extension functor similar to the one familiar in perverse sheaf theory, and $\mathrm{M_c}(X)$ denotes the motive with compact support. The second identity is a consequence of Grothendieck's trace formula. It implies the independence of L-functions of choices of ℓ for a large category of motives, namely the triangulated category generated by $\mathrm{M}(X)$ where X is any scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ (Lemma 2.9). Geometric motives over $\mathbb{Z}$ therefore appear as a natural framework to deal with L-functions.

This naturalness allows for a compact and conceptual conjecture describing the special values and pole orders of L-functions. The conjecture splits into two parts, each of which is interesting in its own right.

The first part, Conjecture 3.1, states that there should be a cohomology theory $\mathrm{H}^*_\mathrm{c}(M)$ called *motivic cohomology with compact support* taking into account both (standard) motivic cohomology $\mathrm{H}^*(M)$ and information at the archimedean place in the guise of weak Hodge cohomology $\mathrm{H}^*_\mathrm{w}(M)$. The latter is an invariant related to the period map of Betti and de Rham cohomology (cf. Section 1.2). More precisely, there are to be long exact sequences

$$\ldots \to \mathrm{H}^i_\mathrm{c}(M) \to \mathrm{H}^i(M)_\mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{H}^i_\mathrm{w}(M) \to \mathrm{H}^{i+1}_\mathrm{c}(M) \to \ldots$$

for any geometric motive M over $\mathbb{Z}$. The spaces $\mathrm{H}^*_\mathrm{c}(M)$ should be linked to motivic cohomology of the Verdier dual $D(M) := \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M, \mathbf{1}(1)[2])$ via natural perfect pairings called *global motivic duality* pairings

$$\pi^i_M : \mathrm{H}^i_\mathrm{c}(M) \times \mathrm{H}^{-i}(D(M))_\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$

that are functorial, compatible with the Verdier dual and normalized to be the height pairing in certain cases. Except for the perfectness of the pairing, the properties of arithmetic Chow groups with compact support $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^m(X)$, X regular and projective over $\mathbb{Z}$, due to Gillet and Soulé [GS90a] match the requirements on $\mathrm{H}^{2m}_\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{M}(X)(m))$ (Proposition 3.3). The perfectness for motives supported on $\mathbb{F}_p$ is equivalent to the conjunction of Beilinson's conjecture 1.5 on the agreement of numerical and rational equivalence and the Beilinson-Parshin conjecture about vanishing of higher K-theory (both up to torsion, for smooth projective varieties $X/\mathbb{F}_p$), see Theorem 3.4. By a result of Kahn [Kah05, proof of Theorem 56], the latter conjunction implies the semi-simplicity of geometric motives over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

The second part of the conjecture, 4.2, deals with pole orders and special L-values of geometric motives M over $\mathbb{Z}$. We conjecture that pole orders are given by the negative Euler characteristic of motivic cohomology of $D(M)$:

$$\mathrm{ord}_{s=0} L(M, s) = -\chi(D(M)).$$

The special L-value $L^*(M, s)$ is conjecturally given, up to a nonzero rational factor, by the determinants of the pairings π^i_M:

$$L^*(M, s)|_{s=0} \equiv \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \det(\pi^i_M)^{(-1)^{i+1}} \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}.$$

The conjecture is compatible with the functional equation and stable under distinguished triangles (Theorem 4.4). The latter—a formal consequence of the setup—is a key difference between our conjecture and Beilinson's conjecture for mixed motives over $\mathbb{Q}$. The meaning of our conjecture for special values of ζ-functions of regular projective schemes over $\mathbb{Z}$ is spelled out in Example 4.5. The remainder of Section 4 is concerned with the following result (see Theorem 4.6 for a more detailed statement). To prove this theorem, actually even to state (1) above, we need to assume a standard conjectures concerning mixed motives over $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{F}_p$, such as a formalism of weights, cohomological dimension, exactness properties of functors $i^* : \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ etc. This has been laid down in [Scha], see Section 1.3 for a summary.

Theorem 0.1. *The perfectness of the pairings in 3.1 and the L-values conjecture 4.2 together are essentially equivalent to the conjunction of the conjectures of Beilinson, Soulé and Tate on special L-values of motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ and ζ-functions à la Hasse-Weil of schemes over $\mathbb{Z}$ and over $\mathbb{F}_p$, respectively.*

Once the motivic duality pairing is formulated for any Tate motive over $\mathbb{Z}$ (in a triangulated manner), we can draw the following corollary.

Corollary 0.2. *Conjecture 4.2 holds for the triangulated category $\mathbf{DTM}(\mathbb{Z})$ of Tate motives over $\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, Beilinson's conjecture holds for any mixed Tate motive $\mathrm{h}^j(X_\eta, m)$ with j, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, X_η smooth projective over $\mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof: By definition [Schb], $\mathbf{DTM}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the triangulated category generated by $\mathbf{1}(n)$ and $i_*\mathbf{1}(n) \in \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$. Here $i : \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{Z}$ is any closed point. For motives $\mathbf{1}(n)[1] = \eta_{!*}\eta^*\mathbf{1}(n)[1]$, our conjecture is unconditionally equivalent to Beilinson's conjecture for $\mathbf{1}(n) \in \mathbf{MM}(\mathbb{Q})$ which does hold by Borel's work [Bor77]. The conjecture holds trivially for $i_*\mathbf{1}(n)$. Thus the triangulatedness of Conjecture 4.2 implies the first statement. The second statement follows immediately. $\square$

A key idea for this work, due to Huber, is to view the data occurring in Beilinson's conjecture for a mixed motive M_η over $\mathbb{Q}$ as belonging to a mixed motive over $\mathbb{Z}$, namely $\eta_{!*}M_\eta[1]$. This is reified for L-functions by (1) and on the motivic side by an appropriate interpretation of f-cohomology [Scha]. Various phenomena studied before then become natural consequences of the properties of $\eta_{!*}$—chiefly its failure to be exact. Scholl introduces a category $\mathbf{MM}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ of mixed motives over $\mathbb{Z}$ [Sch91] (different than the ones studied here) by imposing non-ramification conditions and conjectures

$$\mathrm{Ext}^a_{\mathbf{MM}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})}(\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{h}^{b-1}(X_\eta, m)) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_\mathbb{Q}/\mathrm{hom} & b-2m=1,\ a=0 \\ \mathrm{H}^b(X_\eta, m)_\mathbb{Z} & b-2m \neq 0,\ a=1 \\ \mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q},\mathrm{hom}} & b-2m=0,\ a=1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Except for $a = 2$ and $b - 2m < 0$, where we cannot prove the vanishing in general, the right hand side identifies with $\mathrm{H}^{a-1}(\eta_{!*}\,\mathrm{h}^{b-1}(X_\eta, m)[1])$ (Theorem

1.3). As for the special L-values, a conjecture of Scholl [Sch91, Conj. C] says that some $M_\eta \in \mathbf{MM}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is critical (i.e., its period map is an isomorphism, equivalently all $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{w}}(M_\eta)$ vanish) if

$$\mathrm{Ext}^a_{\mathbf{MM}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})}(M_\eta, \mathbf{1}(1)) = \mathrm{Ext}^a_{\mathbf{MM}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})}(\mathbf{1}, M_\eta) = 0 \text{ for } a = 0, 1.$$

Moreover, a reduction technique transforming any motive M_η into one satisfying these vanishings is given, so that Deligne's conjecture [Del79, Conj. 2.8.] concerning the L-value of critical motives can be applied. The non-multiplicativity of L-functions of motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ (cf. Remark 2.2) has been addressed by Fontaine and Perrin-Riou by introducing the notion of f-exact sequence, which are ones where one does save multiplicativity [FPR94, III.3.1.4]. The formulation of the L-values conjecture given here resembles their approach in several respects. For example, the pole order conjecture for a mixed motive M_η over $\mathbb{Q}$ expresses $\mathrm{ord}_{s=0} L(M_\eta, s)$ as an Euler characteristic of f-cohomology. The idea to recast special L-values of motives as determinants of appropriate pairings was explored by Deninger and Nart [DN95], who show that the motivic height pairing of [Sch94b] can be represented by concatenating morphisms in the derived category of an appropriate category of motives. Special L-values of ζ-functions of varieties over $\mathbb{Z}$ are independently studied by Soulé [Sou09].

It is a pleasure to thank Annette Huber for her advice for my thesis, of which this paper is a part. I also thank Denis-Charles Cisinski, Frédéric Déglise and Bruno Kahn for helpful conversations.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Determinants and $\mathbb{Q}$-structures

For any ring R, let $\underline{R}$ be the category of finitely generated R-modules. Let K be a field. The *determinant* $\det V$ of $V \in \underline{K}$ is $\det V := \Lambda^{\dim V} V$. Its K-dual is denoted $\det^{-1} V$. For $V_* \in \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\underline{K})$, the derived category, we set $\det V_* := \bigotimes_i \det^{(-1)^i} \mathrm{H}^i(V_*)$.

Let $A, B \in \underline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and let $f : A_\mathbb{R} \to B_\mathbb{R}$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map. We do not assume that it respects the rational subspaces. The "usual" determinant of f, which is well-defined up to a nonzero rational factor agrees, modulo $\mathbb{Q}^\times$ with the image of 1 under the map $\mathbb{Q} \cong \det A \otimes \det^{-1} B \to \det A_\mathbb{R} \otimes \det^{-1} B_\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}$. Here the right hand isomorphism is induced by f.

A complex with $\mathbb{Q}$-*structure* is a complex V_* of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces that is quasi-isomorphic to one in $\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\underline{\mathbb{R}})$ together with a non-zero map of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces $d_{V_*} : \mathbb{Q} \to \det V_*$. In concrete situations, we usually have a distinguished identification $\det V_* \cong \mathbb{R}$. In that case, we may also call $\det V_*$ the real number that is the image of $1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ under d_{V_*} and the given identification.

Maps of complexes with $\mathbb{Q}$-structures are usual maps of complexes; they are *not* required to be compatible with the map d_{V_*}. For a map $f : V_* \to W_*$ of complexes with $\mathbb{Q}$-structures the cone of f is endowed with the following

$\mathbb{Q}$-structure:

$$\mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{d_W \otimes (d_V)^{-1}} \det W_* \otimes \det^{-1} V_* \cong \det \operatorname{cone}(f).$$

Define a category $\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\underline{\mathbb{R}})^{\mathbb{Q}-\det}$ to consist of such complexes. Its morphisms are given by maps of complexes up to quasi-isomorphism (not necessarily respecting the $\mathbb{Q}$-structures). We say that a triangle $A \to B \to C$ of objects in $\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\underline{\mathbb{R}})^{\mathbb{Q}-\det}$ is *multiplicative* if it is distinguished in $\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\underline{\mathbb{R}})$ after forgetting the $\mathbb{Q}$-structure and $\det B = \det A \det C$ in the sense that the following diagram (whose right hand isomorphism stems from the triangle) is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Q} \arrow[r, "d_C"] \arrow[dr, "(d_A)^{-1}\otimes d_B"'] & \det C \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
& \det^{-1} A \otimes \det B.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Given two complexes A and B in $\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\underline{\mathbb{R}})^{\mathbb{Q}-\det}$, together with perfect pairings $\pi^i : \mathrm{H}^i(A) \times \mathrm{H}^{-i}(B) \to \mathbb{R}$ of their cohomology groups, we will write (somewhat loosely) $\det \pi := \det\{\pi^i\}_i$ for the image of $1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ under the following map:

$$\mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{d_A \otimes d_B} \det A \otimes \det B = \bigotimes_i \det{}^{(-1)^i} \mathrm{H}^i(A) \otimes \det{}^{(-1)^{-i}} \mathrm{H}^{-i}(B) \xrightarrow{\otimes \det^{(-1)^i} \pi^i} \mathbb{R}.$$

1.2 Weak Hodge cohomology and Deligne cohomology

In this section, we recall some facts related to Deligne cohomology and weak Hodge cohomology. Let $X/\mathbb{Q}$ be a smooth projective scheme (of finite type). By $X(\mathbb{C})$ we denote the complex manifold belonging to X, equipped with its analytic topology. Let Ω^*_X be the complex of sheaves of holomorphic differential forms on $X(\mathbb{C})$. The stupid filtration $\sigma_{\geq *}$ of the complex Ω^*_X is denoted F^* and called *Hodge filtration*. As usual, $\mathbb{R}(p)$ denotes $(2\pi i)^p \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$. The nontrivial element of $G = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{R})$ is called infinite Frobenius.

Definition 1.1. Set $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{D}}(p) := [\mathbb{R}(p) \to \Omega^0 \to \Omega^1 \to \ldots \to \Omega^{p-1}]$. The terms are lying in degrees 0 to p. *Deligne cohomology* of X is defined by

$$\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{D}}(X, p) := \mathbb{H}^n(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{D}}(p))^G$$

where the right hand side denotes sheaf hypercohomology on $X(\mathbb{C})$. The Galois group acts on the individual sheaves of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{D}}(p)$—on $\mathbb{R}(p)$ by conjugation on the sheaf coefficients and on $X(\mathbb{C})$, on the holomorphic forms by conjugation via $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(X(\mathbb{C})) \overset{\mathrm{GAGA}}{=} \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_{\mathbb{R}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ (see [Sch88, p. 8] for details). Deligne cohomology of projective regular schemes Y over $\mathbb{Z}$ is defined as the one of the pullback $Y \times \mathbb{Q}$.

By definition, the degeneration of the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence and weight reasons, there are short exact sequences (*loc. cit.*)

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}(m))^{(-1)^m} \to \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_{\mathbb{R}})/F^m \to \mathrm{H}^{i+1}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, m) \to 0, i - 2m \leq -2 \tag{2}$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{D}}(X, m) \to \mathrm{H}^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}(m))^{(-1)^m} \to \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_{\mathbb{R}})/F^m \to 0, i - 2m \geq 0 \tag{3}$$

Here the superscript denotes the $(-1)^m$-eigenspace of the action of the nontrivial element of G on Betti cohomology of $X(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $\mathbf{Com}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{H}}$ be the category of bounded *Hodge complexes* [Beĭ86, 3.2]. Its objects are quintuples $C := (C_{\mathrm{dR}}, C_B, C_c, i_{\mathrm{dR}}, i_B)$ consisting of a bounded bifiltered complex of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces $(C_{\mathrm{dR}}, W_*, F^*)$, a filtered complex of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-modules (C_B, W_*) and a filtered complex of $\mathbb{C}$-modules with $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear G-action, (C_c, W_*), a filtered G-equivariant quasi-isomorphism $i_B : (C_B, W_*)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{C} \to (C_c, W_*)$ (G acts on the left hand term by the action on C_B and complex conjugation on $\mathbb{C}$) and finally a filtered G-equivariant quasi-isomorphism $i_{\mathrm{dR}} : (C_{\mathrm{dR}}, W_*)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{C} \to (C_c, W_*)$ (on the left, G acts by conjugation on $\mathbb{C}$). These data are subject to the following requirement: the cohomology quintuple $\mathrm{H}^i(C)$ defined by the cohomologies of the various complexes and comparison maps has to be a mixed Hodge structure [Del71, 2.3.1]. Morphisms in the category $\mathbf{Com}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{H}}$ are required to respect the filtrations and the comparison quasi-isomorphisms. The category of mixed Hodge structures will be denoted **MHS**.

An example of a Hodge complex is $\mathbf{1}(n)$, a one-dimensional space concentrated in degree 0, such that it is pure of weight $-2n$ and the Hodge filtration concentrated in degree $-n$, and the Galois action is given by multiplication with $(-1)^n$.

Recall the notion of *weak Hodge cohomology* [Beĭ86, 3.13]: set

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}} : \mathbf{Com}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{H}} \to \mathbf{Com}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{R}{-}v.sp.), C \mapsto \mathrm{cone}[-1]\left(C_B^G\otimes\mathbb{R} \oplus F^0 C_{\mathrm{dR}}\otimes\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\alpha_C} C_c^G\right).$$

The map α_C is called *period map*. Let $\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{H}}$ be the category of Hodge complexes modulo quasi-isomorphisms. The above functor descends to $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}} : \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{H}} \to \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\underline{\mathbb{R}})^{\mathbb{Q}-\det}$. Indeed, taking G-invariants and applying the Hodge filtration are exact operations, since morphisms of Hodge structures strictly respect the Hodge filtration [Del71, 2.3.5(iii)]. The $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(C)$ is the one stemming from the very definition, where C_c^G is endowed with a $\mathbb{Q}$-structure using the one on C_{dR} via i_{dR}. Set $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{w}}(C) := \mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(C))$. There is an exact sequence [Fon92, 5.4]

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(W_0 V) \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(V) \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(V/W_0 V) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(W_0 V) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(V) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(V/W_0 V) = 0.$$

Note that $\Gamma_{\mathbf{MHS}}(V) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{MHS}}(\mathbf{1}, V) = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(W_0 V)$. It is nonzero only if $\mathrm{gr}^W_0 V \neq 0$. By a spectral sequence argument we get an exact sequence for any Hodge complex V_*:

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{H}^{i-1} V_*) \to \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{w}}(V_*) \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{H}^i V_*) \to 0. \tag{4}$$

Unlike absolute Hodge cohomology, the weak variant has a duality: the natural pairing (induced by $A\times A^\vee \to \mathbb{R}$ for any $\mathbb{R}$-vector space A)

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{w}}(V_*)\times \mathrm{H}^{1-i}_{\mathrm{w}}(V_*^\vee(1)) \to \mathbb{R} = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathbf{1}(1)), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5}$$

is perfect [FPR94, Prop.III.1.2.3].

Recall [Beĭ86, Section 4] the Hodge complex $\underline{\mathrm{R}\Gamma}(X, m)$ whose cohomology objects are the Hodge structures $\mathrm{H}^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}(m))$ of [Del71].

Lemma 1.2. *Weak Hodge cohomology identifies with Deligne cohomology (for all i, m):* $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{w}}(\underline{\mathrm{R}\Gamma}(X, m)) = \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{D}}(X, m)$.

Proof: The $H_i := \mathrm{H}^i(\underline{\mathrm{R}\Gamma}(X, m)) = \mathrm{H}^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}(m))$ are pure Hodge structures of weight $i - 2m$. For $i - 2m < 0$, $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(H_i) = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathbf{MHS}}(H_i) = 0$. By duality, $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(H_i) = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(H_i^\vee(1))^\vee = 0$ for $i - 2m > -2$. Hence, by (4),

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{w}}\underline{\mathrm{R}\Gamma}(X, m) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(H_{i-1}) & i - 2m < 0 \\ \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(H_i) & i - 2m \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

The map in the exact sequences (2), (3) between Betti and de Rham cohomology is the one from the definition of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}}$. The lemma is shown. □

The Deligne complexes $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{D}}(p)$ enjoy a product structure $\cup_\alpha : \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{D}}(p) \otimes \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{D}}(q) \to \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{D}}(p + q)$ where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ is an auxiliary parameter. They induce a product on $\oplus_{p \geq 0} \mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{D}}(X, p)$, which is independent of the choice of α [EV88, Section 3]. Compose it with the pushforward ($d := \dim X$):

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{D}}(X, m) \times \mathrm{H}^{2d-i+1}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, d - m + 1) \to \mathrm{H}^{2d+1}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, d + 1) \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^d} \int_{X(\mathbb{C})}} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathbb{R}, 1) = \mathbb{R}. \tag{6}$$

For $a \in F^0 A_{\mathbb{C}}$, $A := \mathrm{H}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}(m))$, and $b \in B_{\mathbb{C}}$, $B := \mathrm{H}^{2d-i}(X, \mathbb{Q}(d - m + 1))$, we have $a \cup_{\alpha=0} b = a \wedge b$. The perfect Poincaré duality pairing

$$A_{\mathbb{R}} \times B_{\mathbb{R}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\wedge} \mathrm{H}^{2d}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}(d)) \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^d} \int_{X(\mathbb{C})}} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}(0)) = \mathbb{R}.$$

induces an isomorphism $B_{\mathbb{R}} \cong A^\vee_{\mathbb{R}}(1)$ and thus a weak Hodge cohomology duality pairing $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}} A \times \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}} B \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathbf{1}(1)) = \mathbb{R}$. Under the identification of Lemma 1.2, this pairing clearly agrees with (6).

1.3 Motives

All of our work takes place in triangulated categories $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(S)$ of *geometric motives* over S, where the base S is either a number field F, a number ring $\mathcal{O}_F$ or a finite field. Such a theory is due to Hanamura, Levine, and Voevodsky when the base is a field and to Ivorra and Cisinski and Déglise for general bases [Han95, Lev98, Voe00, Ivo07, CD10]. In this paper, we shall work with axiomatically described categories $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(S)$. The precise axioms have been laid out in [Scha], so here we only survey them briefly. One half of them is concerned with the behavior of $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(S)$ as a triangulated category, based on the work of Cisinski and Déglise. The second half is better characterized by regarding them as deep conjectures: the triangulated category $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(S)$ (with rational coefficients) is conjectured to enjoy a t-structure whose heart $\mathbf{MM}(S)$ is called the category of *mixed motives*. The cohomological dimension of $\mathbf{MM}(S)$ is conjectured to be 0 ($S = \mathbb{F}_q$) and 1 ($S = F$), respectively. The most important requirement on the t-structure is the following: realization functors, which all have the form $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(S) \to \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{C})$ for an appropriate target category $\mathcal{C}$, are to be exact [Scha, Axiom 4.8.]. Any mixed motive is conjectured to have a weight filtration. Morphisms of mixed motives are to respect weights strictly, thereby giving constraints on the existence of maps between motives. The pure objects in $\mathbf{MM}(K)$ (K any field) are conjectured to be identified with the category $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{num}}$ [Sch94a], pure motives with respect to numerical equivalence. This implies that the pure objects in $\mathbf{MM}(K)$ form an abelian semi-simple category [Jan92, Th. 1].

In the remainder of this paper we assume that the axioms concerning geometric and mixed motives over open subschemes of Spec $\mathcal{O}_F$*,* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *and* F*, as spelled out in [Scha, Sections 1, 2, and 4] hold.*

We use the following notation: the *motive* of a scheme X/S is denoted $\mathrm{M}(X) \in \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(S)$, the *motive with compact support* is denoted $\mathrm{M_c}(X)$. We exclusively work with rational coefficients, that is, all Hom-groups are $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces. Moreover, we use a contravariant notation. In particular, realization functors are covariant and the motive of the projective line decomposes as $\mathrm{M}(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}(-1)[-2]$. We write $\mathrm{H}^i(M) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(S)}(\mathbf{1}, M[i])$ for any $M \in \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(S)$.

The *Verdier dual* of any geometric motive M over $\mathbb{Z}$ is defined as $D(M) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M, \mathbf{1}(1)[2])$. The truncation with respect to the motivic t-structure is denoted ${}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{H}^*$, we write $\mathrm{h}^i(X, n)$ for ${}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{M}(X)(n))$. By the aforementioned exactness requirement, the ℓ-adic realization of this motive is $\mathrm{H}^i(X \times_K \overline{K}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n))$ (for X defined over a field K of characteristic unequal to ℓ).

To gain some familiarity we calculate a few examples, but refer to [Scha] for more details and explanation. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{Z}$ be some projective, connected regular scheme of absolute dimension d. We do not assume that X is flat over $\mathbb{Z}$. By the purity axioms we have $f^!\mathbf{1} = f^*\mathbf{1}(d-1)[2d-2]$ [Scha, Section 1]. Let $M := \mathrm{M}(X)(m)$. By reflexivity of D, we get natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{H}^t(DM) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})}(M, D(\mathbf{1})[t]) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(X)}(f^*\mathbf{1}(m), f^!\mathbf{1}(1)[2+t]) \\ &= \mathrm{H}^{2d+t}(\mathbf{1}, M(X)(d-m)) = K_{-t-2m}(X)^{(d-m)}_{\mathbb{Q}}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $M_\eta \in \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Q})$ be a motive such that there is some $M \in \mathbf{MM}(\mathbb{Z})$ that satisfies the following property: for all primes p in some open $j : U \subset$ Spec $\mathbb{Z}$, there is a (non-canonical) isomorphism $i_p^! M \cong i_p^* M(-1)[-2]$, where $i_p :$ Spec $\mathbb{F}_p \to$ Spec $\mathbb{Z}$. We call j^*M a *smooth motive*, and M *generically smooth*. We define: $\eta_{!*}M_\eta := j_{!*}j^*M := \mathrm{im}(j_!j^*M \to j_*j^*M)$. This is explained and shown to be well-defined in [Scha, Section 5.4]. By [Scha, Lemma 5.11], this applies to $M_\eta = \mathrm{h}^{b-1}(X_\eta, m)$ and $M = \mathrm{h}^b(X, m)$, where $X_\eta/\mathbb{Q}$ is smooth projective and $X/\mathbb{Z}$ is any projective model of X_η. We also know that $S := \eta_{!*}\,\mathrm{h}^{b-1}(X_\eta, m)$ is a generically smooth mixed motive over $\mathbb{Z}$ which is pure of weight $b - 2m$. We have $D(S) = \eta_{!*}\,\mathrm{h}^{2d-b-1}(X_\eta, d-m)$, where $d := \dim X$. Motivic cohomology of S is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 1.3. *With the above notation, we write* $\mathrm{H}^b(X_\eta, n)_\mathbb{Z} := \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{H}^b(X, n) \to \mathrm{H}^b(X_\eta, n))$*. Moreover, let* $\mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q},\mathrm{hom}}$ *be the subgroup of the Chow group of cycles homologically equivalent to zero and* $\mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_\mathbb{Q}/\mathrm{hom}$ *the group of cycles modulo homological equivalence (tensored with* $\mathbb{Q}$*). Motivic cohomology of* $S = \eta_{!*}\eta^* \,\mathrm{h}^b(X, m)$ *is given by*

$$\mathrm{H}^a(S) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_\mathbb{Q}/\mathrm{hom} & a = -1,\, b-2m = 1 \\ 0 & a = -1,\, b-2m \neq 1 \\ \mathrm{H}^b(X_\eta, m)_\mathbb{Z} & a = 0,\, b-2m \leq -1 \\ \mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q},\mathrm{hom}} & a = 0,\, b-2m = 0 \\ 0 & a = 0,\, b-2m \geq 1 \\ 0 & a = 1,\, b-2m \geq 0 \\ 0 & |a| > 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof: The statements for $|a| > 1$, $a = -1$, $a = 0$ and $b - 2m \leq 0$ have been shown in [Scha, Lemma 5.2, Theorem 6.12]. For $a = 0$ and $b - 2m \geq 1$, let $j : U \to \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{Z}$ be an open immersion such that $X \times_\mathbb{Z} U$ is smooth over U. Recall that $j^*S = \mathrm{h}^b(X \times_\mathbb{Z} U)$ is is a smooth motive. The natural map $\mathrm{H}^0(S) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\eta_*\eta^*S) = \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{h}^{b-1}(X_\eta, m))$ is injective by [Scha, Lemma 6.10]. As the cohomological dimension of $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Q})$ is one [Scha, Axiom 4.1.] the latter term is a subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^b(X_\eta, m)$, which vanishes by [Scha, Axiom 1.8.].

In the case $a = 1$ we use that S is, like j^*M, pure of weight $b - 2m$. We have a localization sequence

$$\ldots \to \oplus_{p \text{ prime}} \mathrm{H}^1(i_p^! S) \to \mathrm{H}^1(S) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\eta^*S) = \mathrm{H}^2(\eta^*[-1]S) = 0.$$

The right hand vanishing is because $\eta^*[-1]$ is exact and the cohomological dimension of motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ is one. Also by cohomological dimension the left hand term is $\mathrm{H}^0({}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{H}^1 i_p^! S)$. By [Scha, Axiom 4.11.], $i^!$ preserves positivity of weights, i.e., $\mathrm{wt}({}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{H}^1(i_p^! S)) \geq b - 2m + 1$. By strictness of the weight filtration the group therefore vanishes for $b - 2m \geq 0$. $\square$

In accordance with Conjecture 3.1 (see Lemma 3.7) I expect $\mathrm{H}^1(S) = 0$ for arbitrary b, m. See the introduction for the relation of this to Scholl's notion of mixed motives over $\mathbb{Z}$. By a similar argument, one can show that for $b - 2m \neq -1$ $\mathrm{H}^1(S)$ is a quotient of $\oplus_{p \notin U} \mathrm{H}^1(i_p^! S)$, where U is such that $X \times U$ is smooth over U. For mixed Artin-Tate motives there is the following result. Recall the notions of mixed Tate motives and mixed Artin-Tate motives over fields, and over number rings, respectively, from [Lev93, Wil, Scha]. It is worth emphasizing that the motivic t-structure on Artin-Tate motives over $\mathcal{O}_F$ is unconditional. The following theorem applies when $\mathrm{h}^{b-1}(X_\eta, m)$ is an Artin-Tate motive over F, for one can choose a $U \subset \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_F$ such that X_η has a model projective $X/\mathcal{O}_F$ that is smooth when restricted to U and such that $\mathrm{h}^b(X \times_{\mathcal{O}_F} U, m)$ is a mixed Artin-Tate motive over U.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* M *be a smooth mixed Artin-Tate motive over* $j : U \subset \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_F$*. Then* $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{O}_F, j_{!*}M) = 0$.

Proof: We may shrink U, since $j'_{!*}j'^{*}M \cong M$, as M is smooth. Thus, we may assume by the standard splitting routine [Schb, Lemma 2.5] that there is a Galois cover $f' : V' \to U$ such that $f'^{*}M$ is a mixed Tate motive over V'. The map $M \to f'_{*}f'^{*}M \overset{\cong}{\leftarrow} f'_{!}f'^{!}M \to M$ is $\deg f' \cdot \mathrm{id}_M$, so M is a direct summand of $f'_{*}f'^{*}M$, since we use rational coefficients. The functor $f'_{*} = f'_{!}$ preserves Artin-Tate motives and is exact [Schb, Theorem 4.2]. Hence $j_{!*}f'_{*}f'^{*}M = f_{*}j'_{!*}f'^{*}M$. Here $f : V \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_F$ is the normalization of $\mathcal{O}_F$ in the function field of V' and $j' : V' \to V$ is the corresponding open immersion. Consequently, $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{O}_F, j_{!*}M)$ is a summand of $\mathrm{H}^1(V, j'_{!*}f'^{*}M)$, which vanishes since the cohomological dimension of mixed Tate motives over V is one [Schb, Proposition 4.4], as opposed to two for Artin-Tate motives. $\square$

We will need the Hodge realization functor into the derived category of Hodge complexes ([Beĭ86, Section 3], see [Hub00, 2.3.5] for the construction of the functor)

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}} : \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{H}}.$$

By [Scha, Axiom 2.1.], $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}$ commutes with both dual and twists, i.e., $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}(M^{\vee}(1)) = (\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}(M))^{\vee}(1)$. We write $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}}$ for the following composition:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}} \circ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}} : \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\underline{\mathbb{R}})^{\mathbb{Q}-\det}. \tag{7}$$

The composition of these functors with $\eta^{*} : \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Q})$ will be denoted by the same.

The following conjecture will be needed to deal with motives over $\mathbb{F}_p$:

Conjecture 1.5. (Beilinson) Let $X/\mathbb{F}_q$ be smooth and projective. Up to torsion, numerical and rational equivalence agree on X.

Recall that homological equivalence is in between of these two equivalence relations [And04, 3.2.1], so under the conjecture, all three agree. The second important consequence of that conjecture is that the category of pure Chow motives over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is semisimple by Jannsen's theorem.

2 L-functions of motives over number rings

Let F be a number field and $\mathcal{O}_F$ its ring of integers. For every finite prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$ we fix a rational prime ℓ that does not lie under $\mathfrak{p}$. Moreover, fix for every ℓ an embedding $\sigma_\ell : \mathbb{Q}_\ell \to \mathbb{C}$. All subsequent definitions of L-functions are taken with respect to these choices.

Definition 2.1. The L-series of a mixed motive M_η over F (with respect to the choices of ℓ and σ_ℓ) is defined by

$$L_F(M_\eta, s) := L(M_\eta, s) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}<\infty} \det\left(\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Fr}^{-1} \cdot N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s} | (M_{\eta\ell} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \sigma_\ell} \mathbb{C})^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right)^{-1}.$$

The L-series of a geometric motive M over $\mathcal{O}_F$ is given by

$$L_{\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_F}(M, s) := L(M, s) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}<\infty} \det\left(\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Fr}^{-1} \cdot N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s} | (i_{\mathfrak{p}}^* M)_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \sigma_\ell} \mathbb{C}\right)^{-1}.$$

The first definition is classical, the second is a natural adaptation to motives over $\mathcal{O}_F$. The products run over all finite primes of $\mathcal{O}_F$, Fr is the arithmetic Frobenius map (given on residue fields by $a \mapsto a^{N(\mathfrak{p})}$), $N(\mathfrak{p})$ is the cardinality of the residue field $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}$, $i_\mathfrak{p}$ denotes the immersion of the corresponding closed point and $-_\ell$ denotes the ℓ-adic realization functor. Note that $M_{\eta\ell}$ is an ℓ-adic sheaf [Scha, Axiom 4.8.]. The superscript $I_\mathfrak{p}$ denotes the invariants under the action of the inertia group. For the second definition, the determinant is understood in the sense of Section 1.1.

Remark 2.2. Examples for L-functions abound. A prominent example is the one for $M_\eta = \mathrm{h}^i(X_\eta, n)$, for some smooth projective variety X_η over F.

The independence of the choices of ℓ and the embeddings σ_ℓ is discussed around Lemma 2.9. See also Theorem 3.4.

The L-series for motives over $\mathcal{O}_F$ is multiplicative, i.e., given a triangle $M \to M' \to M''$ in $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathcal{O}_F)$, one gets

$$L(M', s) = L(M, s) \cdot L(M'', s).$$

A similar property does *not* hold for L-functions of motives over F, see [Sch91] for a counter-example. Scholl's notion of mixed motives over $\mathbb{Z}$ (which are not the same thing as mixed motives over $\mathbb{Z}$ in our sense, but mixed motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ with certain additional non-ramification properties), as well as Fontaine's and Perrin-Riou's notion of f-exact sequences [FPR94, 1.3.3] are designed to grapple with this phenomenon.

By definition and the calculation of ℓ-adic cohomology of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}}$ [Mil80, Example VI.5.6 and p. 163], one has $L(M(n), s) = L(M, n + s)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Taking into account $i^* j_! = 0$ for any complementary closed and open immersions $i : Z \to \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_F$ and j, respectively [Scha, Axiom 1.10.], the L-function of $j_! j^* M$ is the one of M, where the points in Z are omitted.

The following lemma is well-known, see [Del73, Prop. 3.8.(ii)] or [Neu92, VII.10.4.(iv)] for similar statements. It permits to replace any number ring $\mathcal{O}_F$ by $\mathbb{Z}$ and to study L-values of motives over $\mathbb{Z}$, only. The mildly more general situation of motives and L-functions over $\mathcal{O}_F$ could be treated *mutatis mutandis*, except for the $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on weak Hodge cohomology groups, which does require the base field to be $\mathbb{Q}$.

Lemma 2.3. *The L-series is an absolute invariant of a motive, i.e., for any geometric motive M over* $\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_F$ *we have* $L_{\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_F}(M, s) = L_{\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{Z}}(f_* M, s)$, *where* $f : \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_F \to \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{Z}$ *denotes the structural map.*

Proof: By definition, one can reduce to the case where M is supported on a single prime $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathcal{O}_F$, that is, $M = {i_\mathfrak{p}}_* M'$. Using $(f_* M)_\ell = f_*(M_\ell)$ [Scha, Axiom 2.1.], one reduces the claim to a question about representations of the Galois groups of the involved finite fields. Then the claim follows from a linear-algebraic calculation done e.g. in the proof of [Neu92, VII.10.4.(iv)]. The details are omitted. $\square$

We now relate L-functions of motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ to ones over $\mathbb{Z}$. Recall the notion of generically smooth motives from p. 8. The following lemma is proven in [Scha, Section 5.5]. It is a corollary of direct application of the exactness axiom on realization functors [Scha, Axiom 4.8.].

Lemma 2.4. *Let M be a mixed smooth motive over U, where $j : U \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$ is an open subscheme. Let i be the complementary closed immersion to j and let η' and η be the generic point of U and $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$, respectively. Then $(i^* j_{!*} M)_\ell = i^*(\mathrm{R}^0 \eta_* \eta'^* M_\ell[-1])[1]$.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let $M_\eta \in \mathbf{MM}(\mathbb{Q})$ be such that there is some generically smooth $M \in \mathbf{MM}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $M_\eta = \eta^*[-1]M$. Then*

$$L_{\mathbb{Q}}(M_\eta, s)^{-1} = L_{\mathbb{Z}}(\eta_{!*}\eta^* M, s),$$

where the left hand term is the L-series over $\mathbb{Q}$, the right one is over $\mathbb{Z}$.

As an example, this applies to $M = \mathrm{h}^{i+1}(X, n)$, where $X/\mathbb{Z}$ is some projective scheme whose generic fiber $X_\eta/\mathbb{Q}$ is smooth [Scha, Lemma 5.11]. In this case, $M_\eta = \mathrm{h}^i(X_\eta, n)$.

Proof: Let $j : U \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ be an open non-empty subscheme such that $j^* M$ is smooth. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\mathbb{Z}}(\eta_{!*}\eta^* M, s) &= L_{\mathbb{Z}}(j_{!*} j^* M, s) \quad \text{(by definition of } \eta_{!*}) \\
&= \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \det \left(\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Fr}^{-1} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s} | (i_{\mathfrak{p}}^* j_{!*} j^* M)_\ell \right) \right)^{-1} \\
&\overset{2.4}{=} \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \det \left(\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Fr}^{-1} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s} | i_{\mathfrak{p}}^* (\mathrm{R}^0 \eta_* \eta^* M_\ell[-1])[1] \right) \right)^{-1} \\
&= \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \det \left(\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Fr}^{-1} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s} | i_{\mathfrak{p}}^* \mathrm{R}^0 \eta_* M_{\eta\ell} \right) \\
&= \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \det \left(\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Fr}^{-1} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s} | (M_{\eta\ell})^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right) \\
&= L_{\mathbb{Q}}(M_\eta, s)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

2.1 Hasse-Weil ζ-functions – Motives with compact support

Definition 2.6. (see e.g. [Ser65]) For any quasi-projective scheme X over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$, the *Hasse-Weil zeta function* is defined as $\zeta(X,s) := \prod_x (1-N(x)^{-s})^{-1}$. The product is over all closed points x of X, and $N(x)$ denotes the cardinality of the (finite) residue field of x.

Proposition 2.7. *For X as above we have $\zeta(X,s) = L(\mathrm{M_c}(X), s)$.*

Proof: We have $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z}) \ni \mathrm{M_c}(X) := f_! f^* \mathbf{1}$, where $f : X \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ is the structural map. Let $i_p : \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}_p \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$; by base change, we have $i_p^* f_! f^* \mathbf{1} = f'_! f'^* i_p^* \mathbf{1} = f'_! f'^* \mathbf{1} = \mathrm{M_c}(X \times \mathbb{F}_p)$, where $f' : X \times \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{F}_p$ is the pullback of f. Therefore $L(\mathrm{M_c}(X), s) = \prod_p L(i_{p*}\mathrm{M_c}(X \times \mathbb{F}_p), s)$. We now identify these factors with $\zeta(X \times \mathbb{F}_p, s)$. Let $X_p := X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$, $n := \dim X_p$. By the trace formula due to Grothendieck, the ζ-function of X_p is given by

$$\zeta(X_p, s) = \frac{P_1(p^{-s}) \cdot \dots \cdot P_{2n-1}(p^{-s})}{P_0(p^{-s}) \cdot \dots \cdot P_{2n}(p^{-s})},$$

where $P_i(t) = \det\left(\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Fr}^{-1} \cdot t | \mathrm{H}^i_c(X_p \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)\right)$ and H^i_c denotes ℓ-adic cohomology with compact support [Del74, 1.5.4]. Thus $L(i_{p*}\mathrm{M_c}(X_p), s) = \zeta(X_p, s)$. Clearly $\zeta(X,s) = \prod_p \zeta(X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p, s)$. $\square$

The L-series of a motive over $\mathbb{Q}$ is conjectured to be independent of the choice of ℓ and σ_ℓ in every factor (assuming $p \neq \ell$). This is known for the individual Euler factors at p if the motive is $\mathrm{h}^i(X_\eta, n)$, where X_η is a variety with good reduction at p, by Deligne's work on the Weil conjectures [Del74, Th. 1.6]. From Proposition 2.7 we now immediately obtain another statement concerning independence of ℓ.

Definition 2.8. The smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ containing the motives $\mathrm{M}(X)$ of all schemes $X/\mathbb{Z}$ of finite type is denoted $\mathbf{DM}^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\underline{\mathrm{gm}}}(\mathbb{Z})$ and called the category of *truly geometric* effective motives. The smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ containing $\mathbf{DM}^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\underline{\mathrm{gm}}}(\mathbb{Z})$ that is stable under tensoring with $\mathbf{1}(1)$ is denoted $\mathbf{DM}_{\underline{\mathrm{gm}}}(\mathbb{Z})$ and called category of *truly geometric motives.*

By resolution of singularities [Scha, Axiom 1.15.], $\mathbf{DM}_{\underline{\mathrm{gm}}}(\mathbb{Z})$ is also characterized as the triangulated tensor subcategory generated of $\mathbf{DM}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ generated by $\mathrm{M_c}(X)$ and $\mathbf{1}(1)$, where X runs through all schemes over $\mathcal{O}_F$. The thick closure of $\mathbf{DM}_{\underline{\mathrm{gm}}}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathcal{O}_F)$. The following lemma thus shows that the question of independence of L-functions of ℓ is solely about the behavior of L-functions under direct summands.

Lemma 2.9. *For any $M \in \mathbf{DM}_{\underline{\mathrm{gm}}}(\mathbb{Z})$ the L-series $L(M,s)$ is well-defined in the sense that it does not depend on the choices of ℓ (provided $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \ell$) and σ_ℓ.*

Proof: L-series are triangulated. Therefore, in order to show the independence of $L(M,s)$ for all $M \in \mathbf{DM}_{\underline{\mathrm{gm}}}(\mathbb{Z})$ we may assume that M is a generator of the triangulated category $\mathbf{DM}_{\underline{\mathrm{gm}}}(\mathbb{Z})$ which is obtained by tensor-inverting $\mathbf{1}(-1)$ in $\mathbf{DM}^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\underline{\mathrm{gm}}}(\mathbb{Z})$. As tensoring with $\mathbf{1}(1)$ amounts to a shift of L-functions, we therefore only have to check generators $\mathbf{DM}^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\underline{\mathrm{gm}}}(\mathbb{Z})$, for which we take $\mathrm{M_c}(X)$, X any scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$. Then the claim immediately follows from Proposition 2.7. $\square$

2.2 Archimedean factors and functional equation

Properties of L-functions for motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ tend to generalize to ones over $\mathbb{Z}$, given that the property in question is known for motives over $\mathbb{F}_p$. We illustrate this by the functional equation. Similar considerations apply to the absolute convergence and analytic continuation of L-series. Recall from [Del79, 5.2.] or [Sch88, p. 4] the definition of the archimedean Euler factor $L_\infty(V,s)$ for a mixed Hodge structure V. We extend it to $V_* \in \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{MHS})$ by putting $L_\infty(V_*,s) := \prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} L_\infty(\mathrm{H}^i(V_*),s)^{(-1)^i}$. Here $\mathrm{H}^i(V_*)$ denotes the i-th cohomology Hodge structure of the complex V_*.

Definition 2.10. Let M be a geometric motive over $\mathbb{Z}$ or a mixed motive over $\mathbb{Q}$. The function

$$L_\infty(M,s) := L_\infty(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}(M),s)$$

is called the *archimedean part* of the L-function of M. Here $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}$ is the Hodge realization functor. The *completed L-function* of M is defined as

$$\Lambda(M,s) := L(M,s)L_\infty(M,s).$$

Much as L-functions of motives over $\mathbb{Q}$, archimedean factors are not multiplicative with respect to short exact sequences. (See [FPR94, 1.1.9, 1.2.5] for a necessary and sufficient criterion when multiplicativity does hold.) Can one give a natural definition of archimedean Euler factors $\tilde{L}_\infty(-,s)$ which is both multiplicative and satisfies, for any Hodge structure V,

$$\frac{\tilde{L}_\infty(V,s)}{\tilde{L}_\infty(V^\vee(1),-s)} = \frac{L_\infty(V,s)}{L_\infty(V^\vee(1),-s)}?$$

This identity would ensure that the functional equation for $\Lambda(M)$ is equivalent to one for $\tilde{\Lambda}(M) := L(M)\tilde{L}_\infty(M)$.

The following is a long-standing conjecture concerning L-functions:

Conjecture 2.11. Let M_η be a mixed motive over $\mathbb{Q}$. There is a functional equation relating the Λ-functions of M_η and $M_\eta^\vee(1)$:

$$\Lambda(M_\eta,s) = \epsilon(M,s)\Lambda(M_\eta^\vee(1),-s),$$

where $\epsilon(M,s)$ is of the form ab^s, with nonzero constants a and b depending on M; see [Del73], [Del79, 5.2, 5.3] or [FPR94, p. 610, 699].

Lemma 2.12. *Conjecture 2.11 implies the following: for any truly geometric motive M over $\mathbb{Z}$ (Definition 2.8), there is a functional equation $\Lambda(M,s) = \epsilon(M,s)\Lambda(D(M),-s)$, where $\epsilon(M,s)$ is of the form ab^s, with nonzero constants a and b depending on M.*

Proof: The claim is triangulated, since the assignments $M \mapsto L(M,s)$, and $M \mapsto L_\infty(M,s)/L_\infty(DM,-s)$ are triangulated for $M \in \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$, the latter up to sign [FPR94, Prop. III.1.2.8]. The category of truly geometric motives over $\mathbb{Z}$ is contained in the triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ generated by motives i_*M, where M is any geometric motive over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and direct summands of $\eta_{!*}\eta^* \, \mathrm{h}^i(X)(n)$, where $X/\mathbb{Z}$ is regular, flat and projective [Scha, proof of Prop. 5.7]. We show that the functional equation for motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ implies the one for motives in $\mathcal{D}$.

By Proposition 2.5 and the calculation of $D(\eta_{!*}\eta^* \, \mathrm{h}^i(X)(n))$ (p. 8), the functional equation for motives $\eta_{!*}\eta^* \, \mathrm{h}^i(X)(n)$ is equivalent to the functional equation for $\mathrm{h}^{i-1}(X_\eta, n)$.

We now show the functional equation for motives i_*N, where N is a geometric motive over $\mathbb{F}_p$.[1] By [Scha, Axiom 2.1.], the ℓ-adic realization commutes with duals: $(N^\vee)_\ell = (N_\ell)^\vee$. Therefore, we have to see

$$L(V,s) = ab^s L(V^\vee, -s) \tag{8}$$

with some nonzero numbers a, b, for any finite-dimensional continuous complex representation V of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Here $L(?,s) := \det(\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Fr}^{-1} p^{-s} | ?)$. We may replace V by $\det V$ without changing either side of the (8), so we may assume $\dim V = 1$. Then Fr^{-1} acts on V ($V^\vee$) by multiplication with some $f \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ ($1/f$, respectively). Hence we can take $a := -f$ and $b := 1/p$ in (8). □

Remark 2.13. Under Conjecture 1.5 a above is rational for $M = i_*N$. To see this, we may assume by triangulatedness that N is a pure motive with respect to numerical or homological equivalence, so that its L-function is a rational function in p^{-s} with rational coefficients (see the reference in the proof of Theorem 4.16).

3 Global motivic duality – a conjecture

Recall the category $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ of geometric motives over $\mathbb{Z}$, the Verdier dual functor $D(-)$ and weak Hodge cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(-)$ from Section 1.3.

Conjecture 3.1. There is a family of functors called *motivic cohomology over $\mathbb{Z}$ with compact support*, denoted $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}} : \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \underline{\mathbb{R}}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, taking values in finite-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces and satisfying the following properties:

1. The $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}$ are a δ-functor in the sense that any distinguished triangle $M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3$ in $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ gives rise to long exact sequences

$$\ldots \to \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M_1) \to \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M_2) \to \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M_3) \to \mathrm{H}^{i+1}_{\mathrm{c}}(M_1) \to \ldots \tag{9}$$

---

[1] This must be well-known, but I failed to find a reference for it.

2. For any $M \in \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$, there are long exact sequences

$$\ldots \to \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M) \to \mathrm{H}^i(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{w}}(M) \to \mathrm{H}^{i+1}_{\mathrm{c}}(M) \to \ldots \tag{10}$$

   where the map from motivic cohomology tensored with $\mathbb{R}$ to weak Hodge cohomology is the standard realization map.

3. For any geometric motive M over $\mathbb{Z}$, there is a perfect pairing

$$\pi^i_M : \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M) \times \mathrm{H}^{-i}(DM)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

   called *global motivic duality pairing*.

4. The pairings are functorial in the sense that any morphism $M \to M'$ of geometric motives over $\mathbb{Z}$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi^i_M : & \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M) \arrow[d] & \times & \mathrm{H}^{-i}(DM)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "="] \\
\pi^i_{M'} : & \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M') & \times & \mathrm{H}^{-i}(DM')_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{11}$$

5. The motivic global duality is natural with respect to Verdier duality in the sense that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi^i_M : & \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M) \arrow[d] & \times & \mathrm{H}^{-i}(DM)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "="] \\
\pi^{-i}_{DM} : & \mathrm{H}^i(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[d] & \times & \mathrm{H}^{-i}_{\mathrm{c}}(DM) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "="] \\
 & \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{w}}(M) & \times & \mathrm{H}^{-1-i}_{\mathrm{w}}(DM) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{12}$$

   The lower row is the natural perfect pairing on weak Hodge cohomology (5), p. 6, using the natural identification $\mathrm{H}^{-1-i}_{\mathrm{w}}(DM) = \mathrm{H}^{1-i}_{\mathrm{w}}(M^\vee(1))$.

6. Let $S = \eta_{!*}\eta^*\,\mathrm{h}^{2m}(X, m)$, with $X/\mathbb{Z}$ projective, regular, of absolute dimension d, and (smooth) non-empty generic fiber X_η (see p. 8). The pairing $\pi^0(S)$ agrees with the height pairing

$$\mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q},\mathrm{hom}} \times \mathrm{CH}^{d-m}(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q},\mathrm{hom}} \to \mathbb{R}, \tag{13}$$

   under the identification of $\mathrm{H}^0(S)$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(D(S))$ with the left and right factor, respectively (Theorem 1.3). The height pairing was constructed independently by Beilinson [Beĭ87] and Gillet and Soulé [GS90a]. Another, conjecturally equivalent pairing is given by Bloch [Blo84].

7. By the first item, $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M) = \mathrm{H}^i(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ for any motive of the form $M = i_*N$, $i : \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{F}_p \to \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{Z}$ and any geometric motive N over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Apply (11) to the Verdier dual of the adjunction map $\mathbf{1} \to i_*i^*\mathbf{1}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi^0_{i_*i^*\mathbf{1}} : & \mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "\iota"] & \times & \mathbb{R} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "="] \\
\pi^0_{\mathbf{1}(1)[2]} : & \mathbb{R} & \times & \mathbb{R} \arrow[u, "="] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{14}$$

(The identifications of the occurring cohomology groups with $\mathbb{R}$ are seen by inspecting the long exact sequences (10). For example, $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbf{1}(1)[2]) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathbf{1}(1)) = \mathbb{R}$. The bottom map is the multiplication. The $\mathbb{Q}$-structures on all terms $\mathbb{R}$ are the standard ones.)

We suppose that the map ι is given by multiplication with $\log p$.

This conjecture determines special L-values of $\mathrm{h}^b(X_\eta, m)$ for all b, m such that $b - 2m \geq 0$, up to a nonzero rational factor. The functional equation (Conjecture 2.11) covers the remaining cases.

Remark 3.2. Let us point out a number of structural similarities of the above ideas with the situation of étale constructible sheaves over Spec $\mathbb{Z}$: thinking of $M \in \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ as being analogous to a complex of constructible sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(M)$ corresponds to $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}|_{\mathbb{R}})$, Tate cohomology at the archimedean place. Given that, $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M)$ parallels $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathrm{H}^i\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{F})$, that is to say, cohomology with compact support, which is defined via $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}} := \mathrm{cone}[-1]\left(\mathrm{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{F}) \to \mathrm{R}\Gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}|_{\mathbb{R}})\right)$, much the same way as (10). Finally, the motivic duality pairing corresponds to the perfect pairing known as *arithmetic global duality* [Mil06, Ch. II.3]

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{F}) \times \mathrm{Ext}^{3-i}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to \mathrm{H}^3_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

One should also compare the duality conjecture given here with [Mil06, Conjecture II.7.17] of Milne. Can one find a complex of étale sheaves over $\mathbb{Z}$ representing motivic cohomology, so that the regulator map to Deligne cohomlogy becomes the restriction map from étale cohomology over $\mathbb{Z}$ to Tate cohomology over $\mathbb{R}$?

We now want to weigh the depth of the several parts of the above conjecture. To do so, we first very briefly recall the notion of *arithmetic Chow groups* $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{\sharp,p}(X)$ due to Gillet and Soulé [GS90a, 3.3.4]. Let $X/\mathbb{Z}$ be flat, projective and regular of (absolute) dimension d. Let $\mathfrak{D}^{2p}(X, p)$ be the space of (p,p)-C^∞-differential forms on $X(\mathbb{C})$ that are $(2\pi i)^p$ times a real form. Consider the group $\mathcal{Z}^p(X)$ of pairs (Z, g_Z), where Z is a codimension p cycle on X and g_Z is a Green current for Z, that is, a current such that $\omega_Z := -2\partial\overline{\partial} g_Z + \delta_Z$ is contained in $\mathfrak{D}^{2p}(X, p)$. Here δ_Z is the Dirac current given by $\mathfrak{D}^{2(d-1-p)}(X, d-1-p) \ni \eta \mapsto 1/(2\pi i)^{d-1-p} \int_{Z(\mathbb{C})} \eta$. For any $(p-1)$-codimensional variety $Y \subset X$, and any rational function f on Y, the pair $(\mathrm{div} f, \log|f|)$ is an element of $\mathcal{Z}^p(X)$ (see *loc. cit.*). The quotient $\mathcal{Z}^p(X)/((\mathrm{div} f, \log|f|)) + (0, \mathrm{im}\,\partial) + (0, \mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial})$ is $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{\sharp,p}(X)$. Note that we altered the definition of *loc. cit.* slightly by adding a factor $(2\pi i)^p/2$ in order to match the groups with Deligne cohomology and the Beilinson regulator, cf. [GS90a, Section 3.5]. If X is regular and projective, but not flat over $\mathbb{Z}$, we define $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{\sharp,p}(X)$ to be the usual Chow group for all connected components of X that are defined over some $\mathbb{F}_p$. There is a map $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{\sharp,p}(X) \to \mathfrak{D}^{2p}(X, p)$ determined by $[(Z, g_Z)] \mapsto \omega_Z$. We denote its kernel by $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$ and call it *arithmetic Chow* *group with compact support.*[2]

Let $M = \mathrm{M}(X)(m)$ where X is regular and projective over $\mathbb{Z}$. Motives of that type generate $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ as a thick category [Scha, Axiom 1.15.]. We define $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2m}(M) := \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^m(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and

$$\pi_M^{2m} : \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^m(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathrm{CH}^{d-m}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^d(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{R} \tag{15}$$

to be the pairing induced by the product on arithmetic Chow groups followed by the pushforward map. The height pairing on $\mathrm{CH}^*(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q},\mathrm{hom}}$ is induced by $\pi^{2m}(M)$ [GS90a, Theorem 4.3.2, Remark 4.3.8.(iii)].

Proposition 3.3. *With the above definition of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2m}(M)$ and π_M^{2m}, the statements of Conjecture 3.1 except for the perfectness of the pairing π_M^{2m} are valid in this special case.*

Proof: First of all, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2m}(M)$ as defined above fits into (a part of) the exact sequence (10) [GS90a, Theorems 3.3.5, 3.5.4], so 3.1.2 is satisfied. The normalization 3.1.7 is also satisfied since the map $i_* : \mathbb{Z} = \mathrm{CH}^0(\mathbb{F}_p) \to \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{R}$ is multiplication with $\log(p)$ with respect to the natural $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on $\mathbb{R}$, as follows from the definition. We will relate this to special L-values of motives over $\mathbb{F}_p$ in Section 4.4.

Let Y be another regular projective scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ and let $f : X \to Y$ be a projective map such that $f_{\mathbb{C}}$ is smooth. These conditions (are put in order to) ensure that the pushforward f_* for arithmetic Chow groups is defined. For the induced map $\mathrm{M}(Y)(m) \to \mathrm{M}(X)(m)$ the functoriality of the duality pairing (11) reads as the commutativity of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^m(Y)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[d, "f^*"] & \times & \mathrm{CH}^{\dim Y-m}(Y)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r] & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{\dim Y}(Y)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r, "p_{Y*}"] & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{R}} \\
\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^m(X)_{\mathbb{R}} & \times & \mathrm{CH}^{\dim X-m}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[u, "f_*"] \arrow[r] & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{\dim X}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[u, "f_*"] \arrow[r, "p_{X*}"] & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[u, "="]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{16}$$

Indeed, this diagram is commutative because of the projection formula [GS90a, Theorem 4.3.9]. Hence 3.1.4 is satisfied.

The compatibility requirement (12) of the motivic duality pairing with Verdier duals reads as the commutativity of the following diagram, where $d := \dim X$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_M^{2m} : & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^m(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[d] & \times & \mathrm{CH}^{d-m}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r] & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^d(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r, "p_{X*}"] \arrow[d, "="] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "="] \\
\pi_{DM}^{-2m} : & \mathrm{CH}^m(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[d] & \times & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{d-m}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^d(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r, "p_{X*}"] & \mathbb{R} \\
 & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2m}(X, m) & \times & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2d-2m-1}(X, d-m) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2d-1}(X, d) \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "p_{X*}"] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[u, "="]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Indeed the first two lines are commutative since the product on $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{\sharp,*}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is commutative [GS90a, 4.2.3]. In the last line, the pushforward is the one of

---

[2] This group is denoted $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)_0$ in *loc. cit.*

(6). This product agrees with the one on weak Hodge cohomology, see p. 7. The lower two lines are commutative by the very definition of the product on arithmetic Chow groups. Therefore, 3.1.5 is satisfied. □

To move on, it is worth pointing out the notion of higher arithmetic Chow groups for varieties over fields due to Feliu [Fel]. However, the theory of algebraic cycles over $\mathbb{Z}$ needs to be developed further to allow for an extension to the situation of varieties over $\mathbb{Z}$. Another natural idea is to exhibit an explicit representation of the regulator $K'_{2m-i}(X)^{(m)} \to \mathrm{H}_i^D(X, \mathbb{R}(m))$ into Deligne homology [Jan88] akin to the Burgos-Wang result [BW98], defining arithmetic K'-theory as the fiber of that map, and defining motivic cohomology with compact support for $M = \mathrm{M}(X)(m)$ in terms of that. I hope to pursue this point in a later work. Encouragingly, arithmetic K-theory (Gillet-Soulé and Takeda [GS90b, Tak05]) fits the requirements of Conjecture 3.1, except for the compatibility of the product pairing with pushforward. The latter is exactly captured by the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem [GRS08].

We now study the perfectness of the duality pairings. First of all, note the following similar conjecture of Gillet and Soulé [GS94, Conjecture 1]: the intersection product

$$\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{\sharp,m}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \times \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{\sharp,\dim X - m}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

is non-degenerate for any regular scheme X that is projective and flat over $\mathbb{Z}$.

For motives over $\mathbb{F}_p$, we have the following compact characterization. It was previously known that Tate's conjecture about the pole order of ζ-functions over finite fields and Conjecture 1.5 together imply the Beilinson-Parshin conjecture [Gei98, Thm. 1.2.].

Theorem 3.4. *Let N stand for any geometric motive over $\mathbb{F}_p$, let $i : \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathbb{Z}$. Under the axioms concerning the existence and cohomological dimension of mixed motives over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and the weight formalism (see Section 1.3 and [Scha, Sections 1, 2, and 4]), the perfectness of the motivic duality pairing for all motives $i_* N$ is equivalent to Conjecture 1.5.*

Assuming only the axioms about the triangulated categories of motives, the perfectness is equivalent to the conjunction of Conjecture 1.5 and the Beilinson-Parshin conjecture *stating*

$$K_r(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0 \tag{17}$$

for any smooth projective variety X over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and all $r > 0$. In particular, it

Proof: Using the axioms about mixed motives, we first show that Conjecture 1.5 implies the perfectness. By 3.1.2, $\mathrm{H}_c^*(i_* N) = \mathrm{H}^*(N)_{\mathbb{R}}$. By [Scha, Axiom 4.1.], the cohomological dimension of $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is zero, so that $\mathrm{H}^j(N) = \mathrm{H}^0({}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{H}^j N)$ and similarly for $D(N)$. By the same axiom, only finitely many j yield a non-zero term. Therefore, we may replace N by ${}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{H}^j N$ and assume that N is a mixed motive. Using the weight filtration we reduce to the case where N is a pure motive. Under Conjecture 1.5, all adequate equivalence relations agree, so we may regard N as a Chow motive or as a pure motive with respect to numerical equivalence. By the semi-simplicity of pure numerical motives there is a decomposition $N = \mathbf{1}^r \oplus R$, where R is a Chow motive such that $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{F}_p)}(R^\vee) = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{F}_p)}(R) = 0$. By functoriality of the pairing we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi^0_{i_*N} : & \mathrm{H}^0(N)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \times & \mathrm{H}^0(DN)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "="] \\
\pi^0_{i_*\mathbf{1}^r} : & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbf{1}^r)_{\mathbb{R}} & \times & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbf{1}^r)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[u, "\cong"] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The lower line is a perfect pairing, since the one for $i_*\mathbf{1}$ is by 3.1.7.

We now show the second statement. Let X be a smooth equidimensional projective variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $f : \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{F}_p$ be the canonical map. Set $M := i_* f_* \mathrm{M}(X)(m)$. By 3.1.2, we have $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M) = \mathrm{H}^i(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$, so Conjecture 3.1 is concerned with the pairing

$$\pi^i_M : K_{2m-i}(X)^{(m)}_{\mathbb{R}} \times K_{i-2m}(X)^{(\dim X - m)}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}.$$

For $2m-i > 0$ the second factors vanishes, hence the perfectness is equivalent to (17). For $2m = i$ is perfectness is equivalent, by definition, to the agreement of numerical and rational equivalence (up to torsion). This shows one implication of the second statement. By resolution of singularities [Scha, Axiom 1.15.], the category $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is generated as a thick category by motives $\mathrm{M}(X)(m)$ as above. Since the perfectness only has to be checked on such generators, we are done with the converse implication as well. □

The following corollary was pointed out to me by Kahn.

Corollary 3.5. *The perfectness for all motives i_*N implies a canonical equivalence $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}(\mathbb{F}_p))$, which in turn implies amongst other things the independence of L-functions of ℓ.*

Proof: That description of $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is shown to be a consequence of $\sim_{\mathrm{num}}=\sim_{\mathrm{rat}}$ and the Beilinson-Parshin conjecture in the course of the proof of [Kah05, Theorem 56]. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let M be a geometric motive over $\mathbb{Z}$. Under Parts 2 and 3 of Conjecture 3.1, $\mathrm{H}^i(M)$ is nonzero only for finitely many $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

This is a consequence of the spectral sequence $\mathrm{H}^a({}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{H}^b(M)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{a+b}(M)$, the boundedness of the motivic t-structure and of the cohomological dimension [Scha, Axiom 4.1.]. It also follows from the perfectness of the motivic pairing and the axioms concerning geometric motives (but not the ones about mixed motives):

Proof: The full subcategory of $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ of motives satisfying the claim is thick. Since $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated as a thick category by motives $M = \mathrm{M}(X)(m)$, where X is any regular scheme that is equidimensional of dimension d and projective over $\mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ [Scha, Axiom 1.15.], it suffices to check the claim for these motives. Now, $\mathrm{H}^i(M) = K_{2m-i}(X)^{(m)}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ vanishes for $i \gg 0$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{H}^i(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}^{-i}(DM)^\vee$ via π_{DM}^{-i}. The outer terms of

$$\mathrm{H}^{-i-1}(DM)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}}^{-i-1}(DM) \to \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}^{-i}(DM) \to \mathrm{H}^{-i}(DM)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

vanish for $i \ll 0$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}}^{-i}(DM) = \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2d-i}(X, d-m)$ vanishes for almost all i. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let $X/\mathbb{Z}$ be projective such that the generic fiber X_η is smooth and non-empty. Let $b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be arbitrary. Under 3.1.2, the perfectness of the pairing π_S^{-1} for $S := \eta_{!*}\eta^* \mathrm{h}^b(X, m)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{H}^1(DS) = 0$.*

In the cases $b - 2m \leq 0$ we know the vanishing of $\mathrm{H}^1(DS)$ independently of the perfectness of the duality pairing (see Theorem 1.3). Beilinson's conjecture 4.9 deals with the cases $b - 2m \geq 0$, $b - 2m = 0$ being the L-value prediction at the central point.

Proof: Using (10) and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}}^{-2}(S) = 0$, we see that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}^{-1}(S)$ is the kernel of the realization map $\rho_S^{-1} : \mathrm{H}^{-1}(S)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}}^{-1}(S)$ which is injective (cf. Theorem 1.3). □

4 Special L-values of motives over $\mathbb{Z}$

4.1 A conjecture

Throughout this section, let M be any geometric motive over $\mathbb{Z}$. In the remainder of this chapter, wherever ranks of motivic cohomology groups are involved, we assume the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.1. (Bass) K-groups of regular schemes X of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$ are finitely generated.

We need the following consequence (by resolution of singularities): motivic cohomology of all geometric motives over $\mathbb{Z}$ is finitely generated.

By [Scha, Axiom 4.1.] (see also Lemma 3.6) only finitely many $\mathrm{H}^i(M)$, and—under 3.1.2—only finitely many $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}^i(M)$ are nonzero as $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ varies. Thus, the Euler characteristic $\chi(M) := \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \mathrm{H}^i(M)$ is defined. Throughout, terms of the form $\mathrm{H}^i(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ are endowed with the obvious $\mathbb{Q}$-structure. Using the $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(M)$ and the sequence (10), $\otimes \det^{(-1)^i} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}^i(M)$ is therefore endowed with a $\mathbb{Q}$-structure (see Section 1.1).

Conjecture 4.2. The order of the L-function of M (Definition 2.1) is given by

$$\mathrm{ord}_{s=0}\, L(M, s) = -\chi(DM).$$

As usual, negative orders mean a pole, positive ones a zero of the L-function. Moreover, assuming 3.1.3, the perfectness of the motivic duality pairings π_M^i for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the special L-value is given by

$$L^*(M, 0) \equiv 1/\det \pi_M \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}.$$

Under 3.1.3, the pole order conjecture is equivalent to $\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} L(M,s) = -\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^i \dim \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M)$. We are now going to expound some structural properties of the conjecture. In order to state the compatibility with the functional equation, we shall need the following conjecture of Deligne's. It implies the compatibility of the L-values conjecture for critical pure motives M_η over $\mathbb{Q}$ (i.e., motives such that $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{w}}(M_\eta) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$) with the functional equation [Del79, Theorem 5.6].

Conjecture 4.3. [Del79, Conjecture 6.6] Let M be a pure motive over $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to homological equivalence, i.e., a direct summand in $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{hom}}(\mathbb{Q})$ of $h(X_\eta, m)$ where $X_\eta/\mathbb{Q}$ is smooth projective. Assume that M is of rank one, that is to say, its Betti realization (or, equivalently, de Rham or ℓ-adic realization) is one-dimensional. Then M is of the form $M(\epsilon)(n)$, where n is an integer and $\epsilon : \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}^\times$ is a finite character and $M(\epsilon)$ denotes the Dirichlet motive to the one-dimensional representation, ϵ, of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ (*loc. cit.*).

Theorem 4.4.

1. *Assuming Parts 1 and 4 of Conjecture 3.1, Conjecture 4.2 is triangulated: given a distinguished triangle $M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3$ in $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$, the conjecture predicts*

$$L^*(M_1, 0)L^*(M_3, 0) = L^*(M_2, 0)$$

   and additively with the pole orders. In particular, the subcategory of $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ of motives for which the conjecture holds is triangulated.

2. *Assume Conjecture 4.3 and Conjecture 1.5 ($\sim_{\mathrm{rat}}=\sim_{\mathrm{num}}$) and the functional equation for completed L-functions over $\mathbb{Q}$ (Conjecture 2.11). Let M be a geometric motive contained in the triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ generated by the image of $i_* : \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \to \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ for all primes p and direct summands of motives $S := \eta_{!*}\eta^* \mathrm{h}^b(X, m)$ with $X/\mathbb{Z}$ regular and projective. Then 3.1, parts 1 and 5, imply that Conjecture 4.2 for M is equivalent to the one for DM.*

Note that by [Scha, Prop. 5.7] and its proof, the motives mentioned in the last claim generate $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ as a thick category.

Proof: Let $M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3$ be a distinguished triangle in $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$. The pole order additivity is clear. We consider the long exact sequences made of $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{c}}(M_i)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{-*}(DM_i)$ and get a commutative diagram of long exact sequences coupled together by perfect pairings. The $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on motivic cohomology with compact support is triangulated, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z}) \ni M \mapsto \bigotimes_i \det{}^{(-1)^i} \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M) \in \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\underline{\mathbb{R}})^{\mathbb{Q}-\det}$$

is multiplicative in the sense of Section 1.1 since its constituent parts are: on motivic cohomology the $\mathbb{Q}$-structure is trivially multiplicative and on weak absolute Hodge cohomology it is by construction of the realization functor. This settles the first statement.

For the second part, we write ord for $\operatorname{ord}_{s=0}$, $\chi_c(M) := \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M)$ and similarly $\chi_w(M)$ with $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{w}}(M)$ instead. Moreover, $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}$ denotes the Hodge realization functor (Section 1.3). We use the following calculation of the pole orders of archimedean factors ([Beĭ86, Lemma 7.1.] or [FPR94, III.1.2.5 + III.1.2.3]), where G is any geometric motive over $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Z}$:

$$\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} L_\infty(G, s) = -\sum_i (-1)^i \dim \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{H}^i(G^\vee(1))).$$

Thus, Conjecture 4.2 for M, $\operatorname{ord} L(M, s) = -\chi(DM)$, is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
\operatorname{ord} \Lambda(M) & = & -\chi(DM) - \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}(D(M))) \\
& \overset{3.1.3}{=} & -\chi_c(M) - \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}(D(M))) \\
& = & -\chi(M) + \chi_w(M) - \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{H}^{2-i}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}(M)^\vee(1))) \\
& \overset{(5),\ \text{p. } 6}{=} & -\chi(M) - \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}(M))
\end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.12, the functional equation for mixed motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ implies the one for motives over $\mathbb{Z}$, so that $\operatorname{ord} \Lambda(DM) = \operatorname{ord} \Lambda(M)$. Again invoking the pole order calculation of L_∞-functions we get $\operatorname{ord} L(D(M)) = -\chi(M)$, that is, the conjectural prediction of the pole order of $L(D(M))$. This settles the compatibility of the pole order prediction with the functional equation.

As for the special L-values, the compatibility is also more or less directly built into the formulation of Conjecture 3.1. Let us write $L^*(-)$ for $L^*(-, 0)$ in the sequel. The claim is triangulated. For motives $M = i_* N$, where $i : \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ and N is any geometric motive over $\mathbb{F}_p$ the functional equation reads $L(i_* N, s) = ab^s L(D(i_* N), -s)$, with a and b in $\mathbb{Q}^\times$ (Remark 2.13). This uses the agreement of numerical and homological equivalence, implied by Conjecture 1.5. On the other hand $\det \pi_{i_* N} \equiv \det \pi_{D(i_* N)} \bmod \mathbb{Q}^\times$ by 3.1.5.

To check the claim for direct summands of motives of the form $S := \eta_{!*} \eta^* \mathrm{h}^b(X, m)$ with $X/\mathbb{Z}$ projective and regular, we may assume X is of equidimension d. We can also assume $b - 2m \geq 0$, since $DS = \eta_{!*} \eta^* \mathrm{h}^{2d-b}(X, d - m)$, so either for S or DS this weight condition is satisfied. Let $M_\eta := \eta^* M[-1]$. It is a direct summand of $\mathrm{h}^{b-1}(X_\eta, m)$, where X_η is the generic fiber of X. For $b - 2m = 0$, the hard Lefschetz axiom ([Scha, Axiom 4.4.], see also Section 4.3.2 below) implies an isomorphism $S \cong DS$, so that there is nothing to show in that case. Let now $b - 2m > 0$. Deligne's conjecture 4.3 implies (see *loc. cit.*)

$$\frac{L^*(S)}{L^*(DS)} \overset{2.5}{=} \frac{L^*(M_\eta^\vee(1))}{L^*(M_\eta)} = \frac{a_1}{a_2}$$

where a_1 denotes the image of $1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ under the determinant map of $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(DS) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(M_\eta^\vee(1))$ and a_2 denotes the image of 1 in $\det \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(DS)$ induced by the $\mathbb{Q}$-

structure on $\mathrm{H}^{-1}_{\mathrm{w}}(S) = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(M_\eta)$ under the natural weak Hodge duality isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(M_\eta)^\vee \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(M^\vee_\eta(1))$.

In other words, $a_1/a_2 = \det \mathrm{H}^{-1}_{\mathrm{w}}(S) \otimes \det \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(DS)$. All other $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{w}}$-groups of S and DS vanish (see the proof of Lemma 1.2). We abuse the notation by identifying $\det \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(S)$ with $\det \mathrm{H}^{-1}_{\mathrm{w}}(S)$ (including the $\mathbb{Q}$-structure) and dually for DS. From the calculus of determinants and (12) we get

$$\bigotimes_i \det{}^{(-1)^i} \pi^i_S = \det{}^{-1} \mathrm{H}^{-1}_{\mathrm{w}}(S) \otimes \det{}^{-1} \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(DS) \otimes \bigotimes_i \det{}^{(-1)^i} \pi^i_{DS}.$$

By Conjecture 4.2 for DS, the right hand side gives $a_2/(a_1 \cdot L^*(DS)) = 1/L^*(S)$, which is Conjecture 4.2 for S and conversely. □

Example 4.5. We now study the implications of Conjectures 3.1 and 4.2 for $M = \mathrm{M}(X)(m)$, $X/\mathbb{Z}$ regular, projective and of equidimension d, including a special values conjecture for $\zeta(X, s)$. In the conjecturally perfect pairing

$$\pi^i_M : \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M) \times \mathrm{H}^{-i}(D(M))_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

the second factor is isomorphic to $K_{i-2m}(X)^{(d-m)}_{\mathbb{R}}$ by absolute purity, and so vanishes for $i < 2m$. Hence so does the first factor, so that the realization map

$$\rho^i_M : K_{2m-i}(X)^{(m)}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{H}^i(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{w}}(M) = \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{D}}(X, m)$$

is an isomorphism for $i + 1 < 2m$ and injective for $i + 1 = 2m$. (In particular, the non-torsion part of higher K-theory of X is finitely generated—a weakening of Conjecture 4.1.) In line with this, Proposition 3.3, and the sequence (10) we set

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M) := \begin{cases} 0 & i \leq 2m-1 \\ \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^m(X)_{\mathbb{R}} & i = 2m \\ \operatorname{coker}(\rho^{2m}_M : \mathrm{CH}^m(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{H}^{2m}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, m)) & i = 2m+1 \\ \mathrm{H}^{i-1}_{\mathrm{w}}(M) = \mathrm{H}^{i-1}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, m) & i > 2m+1 \end{cases}$$

The pairing π^{2m}_M is the intersection pairing $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^m(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathrm{CH}^{d-m}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$. The pairing π^i_M for $i > 2m+1$ is—in accordance with (12)—given by the Beilinson regulator followed by multiplication in Deligne cohomology and pushforward to $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathbb{Z}, 1) = \mathbb{R}$:

$$\pi^i_M : \mathrm{H}^{i-1}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, m) \times K_{i-2m}(X)^{(d-m)}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{H}^{i-1}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, m) \times \mathrm{H}^{2d-i}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, d-m) \to \mathbb{R}.$$

Finally, $\pi^{2m+1}_M : \operatorname{coker} \rho^{2m}_M \times K_1(X)^{(d-m)}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$ is induced by the pairing induced by the multiplication and pushforward on Deligne cohomology via the surjection $\mathrm{H}^{2m}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, m) \to \operatorname{coker} \rho^{2m}_M$ and the injection $K_1(X)^{(d-m)}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, d-m)$, respectively. The pairings π^i_M, $i \geq 2m$ and the isomorphisms ρ^i_M, $i < 2m-1$, determine an isomorphism

$$\bigotimes_i \det{}^{(-1)^i} \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(M) \otimes \det{}^{(-1)^i} \mathrm{H}^{-i}(DM)_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{R}.$$

By Proposition 2.7 we have $L(M, s) = \zeta(X, s+m)$. We conjecture that—modulo $\mathbb{Q}^\times$—$L^*(M, 0)$ is the reciprocal of the image of 1 in $\mathbb{R}$ via the $\mathbb{Q}$-structure map of the left hand term. The class number formula has been interpreted in the terms above in [Sou92, III.4.3].

The group $\mathrm{H}^{2m+1}_{\mathrm{c}}(M) = \operatorname{coker} K_0(X)^{(m)}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{H}^{2m}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, m)$ is related to the *Hodge conjecture*: from Section 1.2 we have $\mathrm{H}^{2m}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, m) = \mathrm{H}^{2m}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}(m))^G \cap \mathrm{H}^{m,m}(X(\mathbb{C}))$. The cycle class map ρ^{2m}_M factors onto $K_0(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \oplus_m \mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{R}}$, where X_η is the (smooth projective) generic fiber of X. The Hodge conjecture for $X(\mathbb{C})$ or the Tate conjecture for $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ imply that the image of $\mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the group $\Gamma_{\mathrm{AH}}\mathrm{H}^{2m}(X, m)$ of absolute Hodge cycles in $\mathrm{H}^{2m}(X, m)$, see [Jan90, 2.10, 5.4, 5.5] for the definition of absolute Hodge cycles and this statement. It is well-known [And04, 7.2.1.3, 7.3.1.3] that the Hodge conjecture over $\mathbb{C}$ or the Tate conjecture over $\mathbb{Q}$ imply all standard conjectures, in particular the agreement of homological and numerical equivalence on any smooth projective variety X_η over $\mathbb{Q}$. Here is a short duality-minded proof of that implication (we put $d_\eta = \dim X_\eta$):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q}} \arrow[r, "\cup"] \arrow[d, two heads] & \mathrm{CH}^{d_\eta - m}(X_\eta)^\vee_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\Gamma_{\mathrm{AH}}\mathrm{H}^{2m}(X, m) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \Gamma_{\mathrm{AH}}\mathrm{H}^{2(d_\eta - m)}(X, d_\eta - m)^\vee \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Under the Hodge or Tate conjecture the vertical cycle class maps are surjective and injective, respectively. Since the comparison maps between ℓ-adic, Betti, and de Rham cohomology are compatible with products, the Poincaré duality for these individual cohomology theories also gives the lower row isomorphism for the absolute Hodge cycles. Any cycle in $\mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)$ is numerically trivial iff its image under $\cup$ is zero. By the diagram this happens iff its image in $\mathrm{H}^{2m}(X, m)$ is zero, i.e., iff the cycle is homologically trivial.

Does the perfectness of the motivic duality pairings imply the agreement of homological and numerical equivalence on smooth projective varieties $X_\eta/\mathbb{Q}$?

The following theorem sums up the results of the following sections. References in parentheses refer to precise statements and/or proofs.

Theorem 4.6. *The pole order part of Conjecture 4.2 for truly geometric motives over $\mathbb{Z}$ (Definition 2.8) is equivalent to Soulé's conjecture concerned with the pole order of ζ-functions of quasi-projective varieties $Y/\mathbb{Z}$ (Theorem 4.8).*

Assuming standard assumptions on mixed motives over finite fields, number fields and number rings (see Section 1.3 or [Scha, Sections 1, 2, and 4]), the following statements hold true:

Beilinson's pole order prediction (Conjecture 4.9) for L-functions of motives $M_\eta := \mathrm{h}^{b-1}(X_\eta, m)$ with X_η smooth and projective over $\mathbb{Q}$ is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} L(S) = - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}, a \neq 1} (-1)^a \dim \mathrm{H}^a(D(S)). \tag{18}$$

where $S := \eta_{!*}M_\eta[1]$. *Therefore any two of the following statements imply the third: (1)* $\mathrm{H}^1(DS) = 0$*, (2) Beilinson's pole order prediction, (3) the pole order prediction of 4.2 (Corollary 4.14).*

The vanishing of $\mathrm{H}^1(DS)$ *is equivalent to the pairing* π_S^{-1} *being perfect (Lemma 3.7). It holds for* $b - 2m \leq 0$ *(Theorem 1.3) or when* M_η *is an Artin-Tate motive over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *(Theorem 1.4).*

Under the assumption $\mathrm{H}^1(DS) = 0$*, the perfectness of the global motivic duality pairings* π_S^i*, for* S *as above with arbitrary* b *and* m*, is equivalent to conjectures of Beilinson concerning the isomorphicity of certain realization maps (Lemma 4.12) and the special* L*-values prediction of Beilinson for* M_η *is equivalent to the one of 4.2 for* $M = S$ *(Theorem 4.13).*

The perfectness of the pairings π_M^* *for* $M = i_*N$*, with* $i : \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $N \in \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ *is equivalent to Conjecture 1.5 (Theorem 3.4). Under 1.5, the Tate conjecture over* $\mathbb{F}_p$ *is equivalent to 4.2 for such motives (Theorem 4.16).*

Up to direct summands, the conjunction of the conjectures of Soulé, Beilinson (L*-values and* $\sim_{\mathrm{num}}=\sim_{\mathrm{rat}}$*), and Tate is equivalent to the perfectness of the motivic duality pairings* π_M^* *for all geometric motives* M *over* $\mathbb{Z}$ *and the pole order and special* L*-values prediction of 4.2.*

Proof: The interpretation of the pole order part of Beilinson's conjecture as (18) is done in the first part of Theorem 4.13. It mostly stakes on 1.3.

The last statement is a summary of the preceding ones: the subcategory of $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ of motives M for which the pairings π_M^* are perfect is thick, i.e., triangulated (by the five lemma and 3.1.1), stable under direct summands (3.1.4). It is also stable under the Verdier dual functor D (use (12), (5) and the five lemma). By [Scha, Prop. 5.7], $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated as a thick category by motives S, where b and m are any integers, and motives $i_*\,\mathrm{M}(X_p)(m)$, with $X_p/\mathbb{F}_p$ some smooth projective variety. The perfectness for the latter type of motives is equivalent to Conjecture 1.5. By the calculation of $D(S)$ (p. 8) we therefore are left with the perfectness for $M = S$ with $b - 2m \geq 0$. Soulé's conjecture 4.7 is equivalent to $\mathrm{ord}_{s=0}\, L(M, s) = -\chi(D(M))$ for all truly geometric motives M. The category of geometric motives over $\mathbb{Z}$ is generated as a thick category by such motives. "Up to" direct summands, i.e., assuming that this pole order formula continues to hold for direct summands, Soulé's conjecture and Beilinson's pole order conjecture 4.9 therefore imply $\mathrm{H}^1(DS) = 0$, under which the isomorphy statements in Beilinson's conjecture are equivalent to the perfectness of the duality pairings π_S^* with $b - 2m \geq 0$. This shows that 1.5, 4.7 and the isomorphy parts of 4.9 together imply, up to summands, to the perfectness of π_M^* for all geometric motive M over $\mathbb{Z}$. Given that perfectness, the following holds: Beilinson's conjecture for M_η is equivalent to 4.2 for $M = S$ (Theorem 4.13) and Tate's conjecture 4.15 is equivalent to the pole order formula for $M = i_*N$. The category $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated as a thick category by such motives. This shows the implication ⇒ of the last statement. The converse {3.1, 4.2} ⇒ {4.7, 4.9, 4.15} is also clear by the above. $\square$

4.2 Relation to a conjecture of Soulé

This short section compares a pole-order type conjecture of Soulé with the pole order part of Conjecture 4.2.

Conjecture 4.7. (Soulé, [Sou84, Conjecture 2.2.]) Let $Y/\mathbb{Z}$ be quasiprojective. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\operatorname{ord}_{s=m} \zeta(Y, s) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^{i+1} \operatorname{rk} K'_i(Y)_{(m)}$$

We refer to *loc. cit.* for the definition of $K'_i(Y)_{(m)}$. For Y regular, it agrees with $K_i(Y)^{(\dim Y - m)}$.

The right hand side above makes sense under Conjecture 4.1 and the vanishing of almost all K'-groups, which in turn is a consequence of [Scha, Axiom 4.1.]. See also Lemma 3.6.

Theorem 4.8. *Conjecture 4.7 for Y and m is equivalent to the pole order prediction of Conjecture 4.2 for $M = \mathrm{M_c}(Y)(m)$. Therefore, Soulé's conjecture is equivalent to 4.2 restricted to the category $\mathbf{DM}_{\underline{\mathrm{gm}}}(\mathbb{Z})$ of truly geometric motives over $\mathbb{Z}$ (Definition 2.8).*

Proof: We know $\zeta(Y, s) = L(\mathrm{M_c}(Y), s)$ (Proposition 2.7). K'-theory has a localization sequence which preserves the Adams grading (see [Sou84, 1.3.]). Likewise, motives with compact support have a localization sequence. Therefore the statement for Y is implied by the conjunction of the one for some open subscheme U of Y and $Z := Y \backslash U$. In particular we may assume that Y is integral.

There is an open subscheme U of Y that is either smooth over $\mathbb{Z}$ or over $\mathbb{F}_p$. If $Y/\mathbb{Z}$ is flat, one can take an open neighborhood of a smooth point of the generic fiber of Y, otherwise Y lies over some Spec $\mathbb{F}_p$ and one can take a neighborhood of a smooth point of Y [Gro67, Prop. 17.15.12.]. By a Noetherian induction, we may replace Y by U. Let $f : Y \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the projection. By definition $\mathrm{M_c}(Y) = f_! f^* \mathbf{1}$ and by the regularity and projectivity of Y we get $D(\mathrm{M_c}(Y, m)) = f_* f^! \mathbf{1}(1 - m)[2] = f_* f^* \mathbf{1}(d - m)[2d]$ [Scha, Axiom 1.11.]. As Y is regular, we get $K'_i(Y)_{(m),\mathbb{Q}} \cong K_i(Y)^{(d-m)}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathrm{H}^{2(d-m)-i}(Y, d - m)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(D(\mathrm{M_c}(Y)(m)) &= \chi(\mathrm{M}(Y)(d - m)[2d]) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \dim \mathrm{H}^{i+2d}(Y, d - m). \end{aligned}$$

The second statement follows from Theorem 4.4 since $\mathbf{DM}_{\underline{\mathrm{gm}}}(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated as a triangulated category by motives $\mathrm{M_c}(Y)(m)$ as above (resolution of singularities, [Scha, Axiom 1.15.]). $\square$

4.3 Relation to Beilinson's conjecture

Recall from Section 1.3 the axioms we assume, in particular the ones concerning mixed motives over $\mathbb{Z}$. In this section, we compare Beilinson's L-values conjecture with a particular case of Conjectures 3.1 and 4.2. Throughout, $X/\mathbb{Z}$ is any projective equidimensional scheme such that the generic fiber $X_\eta/\mathbb{Q}$ is smooth and non-empty. Let d and d_η be the absolute dimensions of X and X_η, respectively. Let $M = \mathrm{h}^b(X, m)$ and $M_\eta := \eta^* M[-1] = \mathrm{h}^{b-1}(X_\eta, m)$; the latter is a pure motive of weight $\mathrm{wt}(M_\eta) = b - 1 - 2m$. We set $S := \eta_{!*}\eta^* M$ (see Section 1.3). It is a generically smooth mixed motive over $\mathbb{Z}$ of pure weight $b - 2m$. Its Verdier dual is $D(S) = \eta_{!*}\eta^* \mathrm{h}^{2d-b}(X, d-m)$. Let $n := b - m$. Recall the groups $\mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q},\hom}$, $\mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q}}/\hom$ and $\mathrm{H}^*(X_\eta, n)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ from Section 1.3.

4.3.1 Beilinson's conjecture

Conjecture 4.9. (Beilinson [Beĭ84, Beĭ86]) Using the above notation

$$\mathrm{ord}_{s=0} L(M_\eta, s) = \begin{cases} 0 & b - 2m \leq -2 \\ -\dim \mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q}}/\hom & b - 2m = -1 \text{ (Tate)} \\ \dim \mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q},\hom} & b - 2m = 0 \\ \dim \mathrm{H}^b(X_\eta, n)_{\mathbb{Z}} & b - 2m \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Special L-values are conjecturally given by the following: For $b = 2m$ it is conjectured that the *height pairing*

$$\mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q},\hom} \otimes \mathrm{CH}^{d-m}(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q},\hom} \to \mathbb{R} \tag{19}$$

is perfect. Up to a nonzero rational factor $L^*(M_\eta, 0)$ is given by the determinant of the height pairing multiplied with the period of M_η, that is to say, the determinant of the isomorphism

$$\alpha_{M_\eta} : \mathrm{H}^{2m-1}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}(m))^{(-1)^m} \to \mathrm{H}^{2m-1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_{\mathbb{R}})/F^m$$

with respect to the usual $\mathbb{Q}$-structures on both sides (compare (2), p. 5).

For $b - 2m = 1$, the map

$$r_\infty : (\mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q}}/\hom \oplus \mathrm{H}^{2m+1}(X_\eta, n)_{\mathbb{Z}})_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{H}^{2m+1}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, n). \tag{20}$$

obtained by the composition

$$\mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q}}/\hom \otimes \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{H}^{2m}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \mathrm{H}^{2m+1}_{\mathrm{D}}(X, n)$$

(see (2) for the right hand map) and the realization map, is conjectured to be an isomorphism. The induced isomorphism

$$\det r_\infty : \det(\mathrm{H}^b(X_\eta, n)_{\mathbb{Z}})_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \to \det \mathrm{H}^b_{\mathrm{D}}(X, n) = \mathbb{R}$$

is denoted d_∞. The left hand term is endowed with the obvious $\mathbb{Q}$-structure. The right one gets the one stemming from the identification of $\mathrm{H}^b_{\mathrm{D}}(X_\eta, n) =$

$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{H}^{b-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(n)))$ with the dual of $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{H}^{b-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(n))^\vee(1))$. With respect to these $\mathbb{Q}$-structures,

$$L^*(M_\eta, 0) \equiv d_\infty(1) \bmod \mathbb{Q}^\times.$$

For $b - 2m > 1$, the statement is the same, except that (20) gets replaced by

$$r_\infty : \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{H}^b(X_\eta, n)_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathrm{H}^b_{\mathrm{D}}(X_\eta, n). \tag{21}$$

This conjecture determines L-values of motives $\mathrm{h}^{b-1}(X_\eta, m)$ with any b, m, such that $b - 2m \geq 0$ and $X_\eta/\mathbb{Q}$ smooth projective, up to a nonzero rational factor. By the functional equation (Conjecture 2.11), the conjecture predicts L-values for all b, m.

4.3.2 The hard Lefschetz isomorphism

This section is a short detour concerning the Lefschetz isomorphism. We want to get rid of the (conjectural) hard Lefschetz isomorphism built into Beilinson's conjecture in order to express L-values solely in terms of the proposed global motivic duality. By Poincaré duality we have $M_\eta^\vee(1) = \mathrm{h}^{2d_\eta - b+1}(X_\eta, d_\eta - m+1)$. It is pure of weight $-b - 1 + 2m$. The hard Lefschetz axiom [Scha, Axiom 4.4.] says

$$\mathrm{h}^{b-1}(X_\eta, b - m) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{h}^{2d_\eta - b+1}(X_\eta, d_\eta - m + 1). \tag{22}$$

For $b \leq d$ the map is given by taking the $(d - b)$-th power of cup product with a hyperplane section, with respect to an embedding of X_η into some $\mathbb{P}^N_{\mathbb{Q}}$. For $b \geq d$ it is the inverse of this map.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be arbitrary and b such that $b - 2m > 0$. The hard Lefschetz axiom [Scha, Axiom 4.4.] implies isomorphisms*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathrm{hom} & \cong & \mathrm{CH}^{d-m-1}(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathrm{hom}, \\ \mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q},\mathrm{hom}} & \cong & \mathrm{CH}^{d-m}(X_\eta)_{\mathbb{Q},\mathrm{hom}} \text{ [Beĭ87, Conj. 5.3.(a)]}, \\ \mathrm{H}^b(X_\eta, b-m)_{\mathbb{Z}} & \cong & \mathrm{H}^{2d-b}(X_\eta, d-m)_{\mathbb{Z}} \end{array}$$

Proof: Using [Scha, Axiom 4.7.], the first claim is obtained by applying H^0 to both sides of (22) (in the particular case $b - 2m = -1$). The second and third isomorphism follow by applying $\mathrm{H}^0 \circ \eta_{!*} \circ [1]$ to (22) (with $b - 2m = 0$ and $b - 2m > 0$, respectively), using Theorem 1.3. □

Proposition 4.11. *Let $b - 2m > 0$. There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{H}^0(\eta_{!*}\eta^* \, \mathrm{h}^{2d-b}(X, d-m)) \arrow[r, "\cong"'] \arrow[d, "real."] & \mathrm{H}^0(\eta_{!*}\eta^* \, \mathrm{h}^b(X, b-m)) \arrow[d, "real."] \\
\mathrm{H}^{2d-b}_{\mathrm{D}}(X_\eta, d-m) \arrow[r, "\cong"'] \arrow[d, "="] & \mathrm{H}^b_{\mathrm{D}}(X_\eta, b-m) \arrow[d, "="] \\
\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{H}^{2d-b-1}(X_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(d-m))) \arrow[r, "\cong"'] & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{H}^{b-1}(X_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(b-m)))
\end{tikzcd}$$

Its horizontal maps are given by the product with L^{d-b} or its inverse (see above). The $\mathbb{Q}$-structures on the Deligne cohomology groups are preserved under this isomorphism.

For $b-2m \leq 0$ there is a similar diagram featuring a hard Lefschetz isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{b-1}(X_\eta, b-m) \to \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2d-b-1}(X_\eta, d-m).$$

Proof: We use the short exact sequence (2). We only do the case $b-2m \geq 1$. The case $b-2m \leq 0$ is done dually using (3). For $b-2m \geq 1$, there are exact sequences (Lemma 1.2)

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^{b-1}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}(b-m))^{(-1)^{b-m}} \to \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{b-1}(X_\mathbb{R})/F^{b-m} \to \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{b}(X, b-m) \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^{2d-b-1}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}(d-m))^{(-1)^{d-m}} \to \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2d-b-1}(X_\mathbb{R})/F^{d-m} \to \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2d-b}(X, d-m) \to 0.$$

The left hand injections are induced by the natural morphisms $\mathbb{R}(-) \subset \mathbb{C} \to \Omega^*_{X(\mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}}$ of (complexes of) sheaves on $X(\mathbb{C})$. The Lefschetz isomorphism (see e.g. [PS08, Theorem 1.30]) is given by the cup product with the cycle class $L \in \mathrm{H}^2(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}(1))^{-1}$ of a hyperplane section of X (or its inverse, see above). It visibly gives a commutative diagram between the singular cohomology groups and the de Rham cohomology groups in the sequences above. Hence the Deligne cohomology groups are also isomorphic.

The $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on Betti cohomology is preserved by cup-product with the rational (actually integral) cohomology class L. Likewise, the hyperplane section being defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, it respects the $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on algebraic de Rham cohomology. This shows the claim about the $\mathbb{Q}$-structures. □

4.3.3 The comparison

Lemma 4.12. *The perfectness of the motivic duality pairing π_S^0, where $S = \eta_{!*}\eta^* \mathrm{h}^b(X, m)$, $b-2m \geq 0$ is is implied by the maps (21) and (20) in Beilinson's conjecture being isomorphisms and the height pairing (19) being perfect.*

If we additionally assume $\mathrm{H}^1(DS) = 0$ for $b-2m > 0$, the said assumptions in Beilinson's conjecture are equivalent to that all pairings π_S^* and π_{DS}^* are perfect.

See Corollary 4.14 for a statement concerning the vanishing of $\mathrm{H}^1(DS)$. Also, this group is zero if X_η is such that $\mathrm{h}^{b-1}(X_\eta)$ is a mixed Artin-Tate motive over $\mathbb{Q}$ (as opposed to a general mixed motive) [Schb, Proposition 4.4].

Proof: By Proposition 4.11, the hard Lefschetz isomorphism identifies the realization map $\mathrm{H}^b(X_\eta, b-m)_\mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^b(X_\eta, b-m)$ featuring in (21), (20) in the cases $b-2m \geq 1$ of Conjecture 4.9 with $\mathrm{H}^{2d-b}(X_\eta, d-m)_\mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2d-b}(X_\eta, d-m)$. By Theorem 1.3, definition of the weak Hodge cohomology functor and Lemma 1.2, this map is the realization map

$$\rho_{DS}^0 : \mathrm{H}^0(DS)_\mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{w}}^0(DS). \tag{23}$$

For all $b-2m \geq 0$ we have $\mathrm{H}^1(S) = \mathrm{H}^{-1}(DS) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^a(S) = \mathrm{H}^a(DS) = 0$ for $|a| > 1$ (Theorem 1.3). For weight reasons, all weak Hodge cohomology groups of S and DS are zero except for $\mathrm{H}^{-1}_{\mathrm{w}}(S) = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(M_\eta)$ and $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(DS)$ in the cases $b-2m \geq 1$. See the proof of Lemma 1.2. Therefore, we have to look at the following commutative diagram with exact columns ((10) and (12)):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & \times & 0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "="] \\
\pi_S^{-1}: & \mathrm{H}^{-1}_{\mathrm{c}}(S) \arrow[d] & \times & \mathrm{H}^1(DS)_\mathbb{R} \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "="] \\
\pi^1_{DS}: & \mathrm{H}^{-1}(S)_\mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "\rho_S^{-1}"] & \times & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{c}}(DS) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "="] \\
 & \mathrm{H}^{-1}_{\mathrm{w}}(S) \arrow[d] & \times & \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(DS) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "="] \\
\pi^0_S: & \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{c}}(S) \arrow[d] & \times & \mathrm{H}^0(DS)_\mathbb{R} \arrow[u, "\rho^0_{DS}"] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "="] \\
\pi^0_{DS}: & \mathrm{H}^0(S)_\mathbb{R} \arrow[d] & \times & \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{c}}(DS) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[d, "="] \\
 & 0 & \times & 0 \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{24}$$

The map (20) being an isomorphism is equivalent [Fon92, 9.5] to the existence of an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{CH}^m(X_\eta)_\mathbb{R}/\mathrm{hom} \arrow[r, "\rho_S^{-1}"] & \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(M_\eta) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi"', "\cong"] & \mathrm{H}^b(X_\eta, b-m)^\vee_\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{R} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{w}}(M_\eta^\vee(1))^\vee . \arrow[ur, "{\rho^0_{DS}}^\vee"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Theorem 1.3, it reads

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^{-1}(S)_\mathbb{R} \arrow[r, "\rho_S^{-1}"] & \mathrm{H}^{-1}_{\mathrm{w}}(S) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi"', "\cong"] & \mathrm{H}^0(DS)^\vee_\mathbb{R} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & & \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{w}}(DS)^\vee . \arrow[ur, "{\rho^0_{DS}}^\vee"'] & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{25}$$

The isomorphism ϕ expresses the duality of weak Hodge cohomology (5).

In the cases $b-2m \geq 1$ we know $\mathrm{H}^0(S) = 0$. By (24), the injectivity of ρ^0_{DS} is equivalent to π^0_{DS} being perfect. The identification of $\operatorname{coker} \rho_S^{-1}$ with $\mathrm{H}^0(DS)^\vee_\mathbb{R}$ of (25) is equivalent to π^0_S being perfect. The group $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{c}}(S)$ vanishes, as we have seen in the proof of Lemma 3.7, so $\mathrm{H}^1(DS) = 0$ is equivalent to π_S^{-1} being perfect. By the five lemma, π^1_{DS} is then also perfect.

The case $b-2m > 1$ is done parallel. In addition to the above, we have $\mathrm{H}^{-1}(S) = 0$. Accordingly, (25) reduces to an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^{-1}_{\mathrm{w}}(S) \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{H}^0(DS)^\vee_\mathbb{R}$. The details are omitted.

In the case $b-2m=0$ the weak Hodge cohomology groups in (24) both vanish for weight reasons. Moreover $\mathrm{H}^a(DS)=\mathrm{H}^a(S)=0$ for $a\neq 0$ (Theorem 1.3), so that π_S^{-1} and π_{DS}^1 are perfect. The height pairing (19) is just π_S^0, by the requirement 3.1.6. Its perfectness is equivalent to the one of π_{DS}^0. □

In the following theorem, we assume Conjecture 3.1, in particular the perfectness of the global motivic duality pairing. Under this conjecture, we can talk about Conjecture 4.2.

Theorem 4.13. *Under Conjecture 3.1, Beilinson's conjecture for M_η is equivalent to Conjecture 4.2 for S.*

Proof: By Proposition 2.5, $L(M_\eta,s)=L(S,s)^{-1}$. This and hard Lefschetz (cf. Lemma 4.10) together shows that Beilinson's conjecture reads

$$\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} L(M_\eta,s)=\sum_{a\neq 1}(-1)^a \dim \mathrm{H}^a(DS). \tag{26}$$

For $b-2m\leq 0$, we additionally know $\mathrm{H}^1(DS)=0$ (Theorem 1.3). In general, this vanishing is equivalent (Lemma 3.7) to the perfectness of the pairing π_S^{-1} for S (Lemma 3.7), which is part of Conjecture 3.1. The comparison of the pole order statements is therefore done.

For the special L-values, we revisit the proof of Lemma 4.12 and look at the $\mathbb{Q}$-structures involved. As in that proof we may replace the map $\mathrm{H}^b(X_\eta,b-m)_\mathbb{Z}\otimes\mathbb{R}\to\mathrm{H}^b_\mathrm{D}(X_\eta,b-m)$ occurring in (21), (20) by ρ_{DS}^0, see (23), since the involved $\mathbb{Q}$-structures remain unchanged (Proposition 4.11). The $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on $\mathrm{H}^0_\mathrm{w}(DS)$ is the one stemming from the weak Hodge duality isomorphism with $\mathrm{H}^{-1}_\mathrm{w}(S)^\vee$. This $\mathbb{Q}$-structure is distinct from the "original" one on $\mathrm{H}^0_\mathrm{w}(DS)$, see the discussion of the functional equation in Theorem 4.4. All motivic cohomology terms $\mathrm{H}^*(-)_\mathbb{R}$ get the obvious $\mathbb{Q}$-structure.

We first do the case $b-2m=1$. In addition to the vanishing of the $\mathrm{H}^*_\mathrm{w}(-)$ groups mentioned in the beginning of the proof of Lemma 4.12 we note that the groups $\mathrm{H}^{-1}_\mathrm{w}(S)$ and $\mathrm{H}^0_\mathrm{w}(DS)$ are also the only groups that contribute to the determinant of the weak Hodge cohomology complexes $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_\mathrm{w}(S)$ and $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_\mathrm{w}(DS)$, respectively. Indeed, the period maps of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_\mathrm{H}(S)$ and $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_\mathrm{H}(DS)$ are surjective and injective, respectively. To simplify the notation, we will therefore endow the groups $\mathrm{H}^a_\mathrm{w}(S)=\mathrm{H}^{-1-a}_\mathrm{w}(DS)=0$, $a\neq -1$ with the trivial $\mathbb{Q}$-structure and identify $\det \mathrm{H}^{-1}_\mathrm{w}(S)$ with $\det \mathrm{R}\Gamma_\mathrm{w}(S)$ and $\det \mathrm{H}^0_\mathrm{w}(DS)$ with $\det \mathrm{R}\Gamma_\mathrm{w}(DS)$, respectively.

By [Fon92, 9.5], [FPR94, Conj. III.4.4.3], Beilinson's conjecture equivalently says that the L-value of M_η is given by the reciprocal of the image of $1\in\mathbb{Q}$ in

$$\det{}^{-1}\mathrm{H}^0(DS)_\mathbb{R}\otimes\det{}^{-1}\mathrm{H}^{-1}_\mathrm{w}(S)\otimes\det\mathrm{H}^{-1}(S)_\mathbb{R}\cong\mathbb{R},$$

where the identification with $\mathbb{R}$ is stemming from the exact sequence (25). The $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on $\mathrm{H}^{-1}_\mathrm{w}(S)$ is the natural one defined in Section 1.2. The left hand $\mathbb{R}$-vector space is isomorphic, including the $\mathbb{Q}$-structure, to

$$\det{}^{-1}\mathrm{H}^0(DS)_\mathbb{R}\otimes\det{}^{-1}\mathrm{H}^0_\mathrm{c}(S),$$

so that $L^*(S,0) = 1/L^*(M_\eta, 0)$ is indeed the inverse of the determinant of π^0_S. All other π^*_S vanish, so this accomplishes the case $b - 2m = 1$.

Again, the case $b - 2m > 1$ is similar but simpler, since—in addition to what was said before—$\mathrm{H}^{-1}(S)$ vanishes. Correspondingly, only the determinant of the realization map ρ^0_{DS} (23), as opposed to the one of (25), appears in Beilinson's conjecture.

At the central point, $b - 2m = 0$, Beilinson's conjecture says that $L^*(M_\eta, 0) = 1/L^*(S, 0)$ is given by the determinant of the height pairing $\pi^0_S : \mathrm{H}^0(S) \times \mathrm{H}^0(DS) \to \mathbb{R}$ (3.1.6) multiplied with $\det \alpha_S$, where

$$\alpha_S : B := \mathrm{H}^{b-1}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}(m))^{(-1)^m} \to dR := (\mathrm{H}^{b-1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_\eta)/F^m) \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

is the period map of S. That map is an isomorphism (see Section 1.2), i.e., M_η is a critical motive in the sense of Deligne [Del79, Def. 1.3]. The $\mathbb{Q}$-structures are not respected by α_S, so we cannot commit the above abuse of notation since the $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on $\det \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(S)$ and $\det \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(DS)$ is nontrivial even though all its cohomology vectors spaces are trivial. There is a chain of natural isomorphisms of one-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces respecting the $\mathbb{Q}$-structures:

$$\det B \otimes \det^{-1} dR = \det \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(M_\eta) = \det^{-1} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(S)$$

By linear algebra, $\det \alpha_S$ agrees (modulo $\mathbb{Q}^\times$) with the image of 1 in $\mathbb{R}$ under the natural isomorphism induced by α_S: $\det B \otimes \det^{-1} dR \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathbb{R}$. Except for $\mathrm{H}^0(S)$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(DS)$, all motivic cohomology groups of S and its Verdier dual $D(S)$ vanish (Theorem 1.3). By (10), we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces respecting the $\mathbb{Q}$-structure

$$\bigotimes \det^{(-1)^i} \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(S) = \bigotimes \det^{(-1)^i} \mathrm{H}^i(S) \otimes \det^{-1} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{w}}(S),$$

so Beilinson's conjecture can indeed equivalently be rephrased by saying that $L^*(S, 0)$ is reciprocal of the image of 1 under

$$\bigotimes \det^{(-1)^i} \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(S) \otimes \bigotimes \det^{(-1)^i} \mathrm{H}^i(DS) \to \mathbb{R}.$$

□

The following is clear from the proof of Theorem 4.13, see esp. (26). It is relevant insofar as Soulé's conjecture 4.7 is equivalent to the pole order part of Conjecture 4.2 for the subcategory of truly geometric motives over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Corollary 4.14. *Any two of the following statements imply the third: (1) Beilinson's pole order conjecture, (2) the pole order part of Conjecture 4.2, and (3)* $\mathrm{H}^1(DS) = 0$ *for* $b - 2m > 0$.

4.4 Relation to the Tate conjecture over $\mathbb{F}_p$

In order to relate our conjecture for motives M supported on closed points of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ to the Tate conjecture, we have to assume the conjectural agreement 1.5

of numerical and rational equivalence on smooth projective varieties over finite fields. By Theorem 3.4, this implies that the proposed motivic duality holds for such motives. All further statements of Conjecture 3.1 hold trivially for such motives.

Conjecture 4.15. (Tate conjecture over finite fields) Let $X/\mathbb{F}_q$ be smooth and projective. Let ℓ be a rational prime such that $\ell \nmid q$. Any $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q)$-invariant element of $\mathrm{H}^{2i}(X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(i))$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-linear combination of algebraic elements, i.e., elements in the image of the cycle class map $\mathrm{CH}^i(X) \to \mathrm{H}^{2i}(X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(i))$.

Theorem 4.16. *In addition to the general assumptions on mixed motives over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (Section 1.3), we assume Conjecture 1.5. Then Conjecture 4.15 is equivalent to Conjecture 4.2 for motives $M = i_* N$, where N is any geometric motive over $\mathbb{F}_p$, $i : \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{Z}$. More precisely, the special value prediction of 4.2 in this case is*

$$L^*(i_*N, 0) \equiv \log p^{-\chi(D(i_*N))} \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}.$$

where D denotes the Verdier dual functor on $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ and χ the Euler characteristic of motivic cohomology (p. 21).

Proof: We first show the implication $\Rightarrow$. The claim for N is implied by the one for the ${}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{H}^j N$, since only finitely many j give a nonzero term [Scha, Axiom 4.1.]. Similarly, the claim for N is implied by the one for $\mathrm{gr}_n^W N$. Therefore, we may assume N is a pure motive. Under Conjecture 1.5, all adequate equivalence relations agree, so that we need not (and will not) distinguish between Chow motives $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and numerical motives $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{num}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. By definition of pure motives, N is a direct summand of $H := h(X)(n)$, with $X/\mathbb{F}_p$ smooth and projective. Let $N \oplus N' = H$. Under the embedding $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \subset \mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, H maps to $\mathrm{M}(X)(n)[2n]$. The latter motive is also denoted H. Therefore and for weight reasons,

$$\mathrm{H}^a(D(i_*N)) = \mathrm{H}^a(N^\vee) \subset \mathrm{H}^a(H^\vee) = \mathrm{H}^0(\mathrm{gr}_0^W\, {}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{H}^0(H^\vee[a]))$$

vanishes for $a \neq 0$.

The semisimplicity of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{num}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ yields a decomposition $N = \mathbf{1}^r \oplus R$ with $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{1}, R) = \mathrm{Hom}(R, \mathbf{1}) = 0$. These Hom-groups are the same when taken in either $\mathbf{DM}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, or $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{num}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Notice $\mathrm{Hom}(R, \mathbf{1}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{1}, R^\vee)$, so that $\dim \mathrm{H}^0(N) = \dim \mathrm{H}^0(N^\vee) (= r)$. Therefore, we have to show $\mathrm{ord}_{s=0} L(i_*N) = -\dim \mathrm{H}^0(N)$ and $L^*(i_*N) \equiv \log p^{-\dim \mathrm{H}^0(N)} \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$.

Let $Z^n(X)/\mathrm{num}$ be the group of codimension n cycles on X modulo numerical equivalence. Then $\dim \mathrm{H}^0(H) = \mathrm{rk}\, \mathrm{CH}^n(X)$ by [Scha, Axiom 1.8.] and

$$\mathrm{rk}\, \mathrm{CH}^n(X) \overset{1.5}{=} \mathrm{rk}\, Z^n(X)/\mathrm{num} = -\mathrm{ord}_{s=n}\, \zeta(X, s),$$

so the claim holds for H by assumption. The rightmost equality is a consequence of the Tate conjecture: in fact, the Tate conjecture and the agreement of the ℓ-adic homological and numerical equivalence relations on X (up to torsion) together are equivalent to this equality [Tat94, Thm. 2.9]. Consequently

$$\dim \mathrm{H}^0 N + \dim \mathrm{H}^0 N' = \dim \mathrm{H}^0 H = -\operatorname{ord} L(H) = -\operatorname{ord} L(N) - \operatorname{ord} L(N'). \tag{27}$$

Let $-_\ell : \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \to \oplus\underline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p)]}$, $\pi h(X)(n) \mapsto \oplus_a \pi^* \mathrm{H}^a(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n))$ be the ℓ-adic realization functor taking values in graded continuous ℓ-adic $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p)$-representations. We write $\mathrm{H}^0(N_\ell) := N_\ell^{\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p)}$, the Galois cohomology of the ℓ-adic Galois module N_ℓ. The following way of reasoning is borrowed from *loc. cit.* We have the following chain of inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} L(N,s) &= \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \ker(\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Fr}^{-1})^w | N_\ell, w \gg 0 \\ &\geq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \ker(\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Fr}^{-1}) | N_\ell \\ &\geq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} (N_\ell)^{\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p)} \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathrm{H}^0(N_\ell) \\ &\geq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{H}^0(N) \end{aligned}$$

The first equality is by linear algebra. The last inequality is by the injectivity of the cycle class map $\mathrm{H}^0(N) \to \mathrm{H}^0(N_\ell)$, which follows from the injectivity of $\mathrm{H}^0(H) \to \mathrm{H}^0(H_\ell) = \mathrm{H}^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n))$, i.e., the agreement of homological and rational equivalence, which holds under Conjecture 1.5. Therefore, in (27) equality of dimensions must hold for the individual summands, so the pole order part is shown.

As for the special value, the claim does hold for $N = \mathbf{1}$: the residue of $\zeta(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p, s)$ at $s = 0$ is $(\log p)^{-1}$ and the determinant of $\pi^0_{i_*\mathbf{1}}$ is $\log p$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.3). Hence we can assume $N = R$. By the Lefschetz trace formula, the L-function of any pure motive over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is a rational function in p^{-s} with rational coefficients that are independent of ℓ, see e.g. [And04, Section 7.1.4]. By the preceding part, the L-function of i_*R does not have a pole at $s = 0$, therefore the leading term of the Laurent series $L(i_*R, s)$ is simply the value at this point, a nonzero rational number (as opposed to an ℓ-adic or, via σ_ℓ, a complex number).

For the implication $\Leftarrow$, we again use the theorem of Tate cited above: the Tate conjecture for $X/\mathbb{F}_p$ is implied by $\operatorname{ord}_{s=j} \zeta(X,s) = -\operatorname{rk} Z^j(X)/\mathrm{num}$. Under 1.5, that term is $-\operatorname{rk} \mathrm{CH}^j(X) = -\dim \mathrm{H}^{2j}(\mathrm{M}(X)(j))$. Thus, Conjecture 4.2 for $i_* \mathrm{M}(X)(j)$ implies the Tate conjecture for X. □

References

[And04] Yves André. *Une introduction aux motifs (motifs purs, motifs mixtes, périodes)*, volume 17 of *Panoramas et Synthèses [Panoramas and Syntheses]*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2004.

[Beĭ84] A. A. Beĭlinson. Higher regulators and values of L-functions. In *Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 24*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, pages

181–238. Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1984.

[Beĭ86] A. A. Beĭlinson. Notes on absolute Hodge cohomology. In *Applications of algebraic K-theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, Part I, II (Boulder, Colo., 1983)*, volume 55 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 35–68. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.

[Beĭ87] A. A. Beĭlinson. Height pairing between algebraic cycles. In *K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986)*, volume 1289 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–25. Springer, Berlin, 1987.

[Blo84] Spencer Bloch. Height pairings for algebraic cycles. In *Proceedings of the Luminy conference on algebraic K-theory (Luminy, 1983)*, volume 34, pages 119–145, 1984.

[Bor77] Armand Borel. Cohomologie de SL_n et valeurs de fonctions zeta aux points entiers. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 4(4):613–636, 1977.

[BW98] Jose Ignacio Burgos and Steve Wang. Higher Bott-Chern forms and Beilinson's regulator. *Invent. Math.*, 132(2):261–305, 1998.

[CD10] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Frédéric Déglise. Triangulated categories of motives. Preprint, 2010.

[Del71] Pierre Deligne. Théorie de Hodge. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 40:5–57, 1971.

[Del73] P. Deligne. Les constantes des équations fonctionnelles des fonctions L. In *Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 501–597. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[Del74] Pierre Deligne. La conjecture de Weil. I. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 43:273–307, 1974.

[Del79] P. Deligne. Valeurs de fonctions L et périodes d'intégrales. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 313–346. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979. With an appendix by N. Koblitz and A. Ogus.

[DN95] Christopher Deninger and Enric Nart. On Ext^2 of motives over arithmetic curves. *Amer. J. Math.*, 117(3):601–625, 1995.

[EV88] Hélène Esnault and Eckart Viehweg. Deligne-Beĭlinson cohomology. In *Beĭlinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions*, volume 4 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 43–91. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.

[Fel] J. Feliu. On higher arithmetic intersection theory. Thesis.

[Fon92] Jean-Marc Fontaine. Valeurs spéciales des fonctions L des motifs. *Astérisque*, (206):Exp. No. 751, 4, 205–249, 1992. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1991/92.

[FPR94] Jean-Marc Fontaine and Bernadette Perrin-Riou. Autour des conjectures de Bloch et Kato: cohomologie galoisienne et valeurs de fonctions L. In *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 55 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 599–706. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[Gei98] Thomas Geisser. Tate's conjecture, algebraic cycles and rational K-theory in characteristic p. *K-Theory*, 13(2):109–122, 1998.

[Gro67] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (20, 24, 28, 32):361, 1964 - 1967.

[GRS08] Henri Gillet, Damian Rössler, and Christophe Soulé. An arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem in higher degrees. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 58(6):2169–2189, 2008.

[GS90a] Henri Gillet and Christophe Soulé. Arithmetic intersection theory. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (72):93–174 (1991), 1990.

[GS90b] Henri Gillet and Christophe Soulé. Characteristic classes for algebraic vector bundles with Hermitian metric. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 131(1):163–203, 1990.

[GS94] H. Gillet and C. Soulé. Arithmetic analogs of the standard conjectures. In *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 55 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 129–140. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[Han95] Masaki Hanamura. Mixed motives and algebraic cycles. I. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 2(6):811–821, 1995.

[Hub00] Annette Huber. Realization of Voevodsky's motives. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 9(4):755–799, 2000.

[Ivo07] Florian Ivorra. Réalisation l-adique des motifs triangulés géométriques. I. *Doc. Math.*, 12:607–671, 2007.

[Jan88] Uwe Jannsen. Deligne homology, Hodge-d-conjecture, and motives. In *Beĭlinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions*, volume 4 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 305–372. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.

[Jan90] Uwe Jannsen. *Mixed motives and algebraic K-theory*, volume 1400 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. With appendices by S. Bloch and C. Schoen.

[Jan92] Uwe Jannsen. Motives, numerical equivalence, and semi-simplicity. *Invent. Math.*, 107(3):447–452, 1992.

[Kah05] Bruno Kahn. Algebraic K-theory, algebraic cycles and arithmetic geometry. In *Handbook of K-theory. Vol. 1, 2*, pages 351–428. Springer, Berlin, 2005.

[Lev93] Marc Levine. Tate motives and the vanishing conjectures for algebraic K-theory. In *Algebraic K-theory and algebraic topology (Lake Louise, AB, 1991)*, volume 407 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 167–188. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993.

[Lev98] Marc Levine. *Mixed motives*, volume 57 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[Mil80] James S. Milne. *Étale cohomology*, volume 33 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.

[Mil06] J. S. Milne. *Arithmetic duality theorems*. BookSurge, LLC, Charleston, SC, second edition, 2006.

[Neu92] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic number theory. (Algebraische Zahlentheorie.)*. Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag. xiii, 595 S. , 1992.

[PS08] Chris A. M. Peters and Joseph H. M. Steenbrink. *Mixed Hodge structures*, volume 52 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[Scha] Jakob Scholbach. f-cohomology and motives over $\mathbb{Z}$. Preprint.

[Schb] Jakob Scholbach. Mixed Artin-Tate motives over number rings. Preprint.

[Sch88] Peter Schneider. Introduction to the Beĭlinson conjectures. In *Beĭlinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions*, volume 4 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 1–35. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.

[Sch91] Anthony J. Scholl. Remarks on special values of L-functions. In *L-functions and arithmetic (Durham, 1989)*, volume 153 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 373–392. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.

[Sch94a] Anthony J. Scholl. Classical motives. In *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 55 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 163–187. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[Sch94b] Anthony J. Scholl. Height pairings and special values of L-functions. In *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 55 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 571–598. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[Ser65] Jean-Pierre Serre. Zeta and L functions. In *Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry (Proc. Conf. Purdue Univ., 1963)*, pages 82–92. Harper & Row, New York, 1965.

[Sou84] Christophe Soulé. K-théorie et zéros aux points entiers de fonctions zêta. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Warsaw, 1983)*, pages 437–445, Warsaw, 1984. PWN.

[Sou92] C. Soulé. *Lectures on Arakelov geometry*, volume 33 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992. With the collaboration of D. Abramovich, J.-F. Burnol and J. Kramer.

[Sou09] C. Soulé. Letter to S. Bloch and S. Lichtenbaum. Jan. 2009.

[Tak05] Yuichiro Takeda. Higher arithmetic K-theory. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 41(3):599–681, 2005.

[Tat94] John Tate. Conjectures on algebraic cycles in l-adic cohomology. In *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 55 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 71–83. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[Voe00] Vladimir Voevodsky. Triangulated categories of motives over a field. In *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*, volume 143 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 188–238. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000.

[Wil] J. Wildeshaus. Notes on Artin-Tate motives. Preprint, Nov 2008, arXiv, http://arxiv.org/abs/0811.4551v1.